# EMPLOYEE INPUT (all meetings) WRITTEN QUESTIONS & COMMENTS

# EMPLOYEE INPUT MEETING (all meetings) WRITTEN QUESTIONS & COMMENTS

## **INDEX**

Recommendations 1 & 7: Political Discrimination	<u>I ab A</u>
Recommendation 2: HRIS System, Promotional & Career Ladders	<u>Tab B</u>
Recommendations 3, 8, 20, 21, & 22: EEO / Recruitment of qualified veterans, minorities, women and disabled / Affirmative Action Plan, Update to statutes to permit an Affirmative Action Plan	<u>Tab C</u>
Recommendation 4: Background checks	<u>Tab D</u>
Recommendation 5: Ongoing review of merit system	<u>Tab E</u>
Recommendation 6: Burrowing	<u>Tab F</u>
Recommendation 9: Clarify & distinguish the authority of the Personnel Cabinet and the Personnel Board to promulgate regulations	<u>Tab G</u>
Recommendations 10 & 14: Grievance and Mediation	<u>Tab H</u>
Recommendation 11: Review Reporting Requirements	<u>Tab I</u>
Recommendation 12: Personnel Board Written Decisions to be available for review Electronically	<u>Tab J</u>
Recommendation 15: Personnel Board to be subject to Executive Branch Ethics C	<u>Tab K</u>
Recommendation 16: Employees placed on paid leave pending dismissal	<u>Tab L</u>
Recommendation 17: Drug Testing	<u>Tab M</u>
Recommendation 18: Military Leave	<u>Tab N</u>
Recommendation 19: Uniformed Leave Request Form	<u>Tab O</u>
Recommendation 23: Classifications	<u>Tab P</u>

# EMPLOYEE INPUT MEETING (all meetings) WRITTEN QUESTIONS & COMMENTS

## INDEX

Recommendation 24: Annual Increment, maximum salary, ACE/ERA	<u>Tab Q</u>
Recommendation 25: Employee Evaluation	<u>Tab R</u>
Recommendation 26: 40 hour work week	<u>Tab S</u>
Recommendation 27: Merit Employees running for office	<u>Tab T</u>
Makeup and Process of Task Force Questions/Comments	<u>Tab U</u>
Miscellaneous Questions/Comments	<u>Tab V</u>
Employee Recommendations	<u>Tab W</u>
Insurance Questions/Comments	<u>Tab X</u>
Retirement Questions/Comments	<u>Tab Y</u>

# TAB A Recommendations 1 & 7

**Political Discrimination** 

"Personnel Cabinet Secretary may remove anyone hired based upon pre-selection due to politics" For how long? Is there no protection by the merit system? What if they were hired by the current administration, will they still be removed?

What process will the Personnel Secretary have to go through to remove an employee who may have been hired for political purposes?

How does having an appointed person screening appointments insulate the process from abuse?

How will you know if someone is appointed solely or largely based on politics? Will there be some form of scoring system?

How can we prevent favoritism in hiring/promotion based on personal knowledge of higher ups? Is that considered political?

Will the Governor still have the ability to pardon those charged with a felony for violating merit law? If so maybe we should add provisions that you cannot be pardoned by violating merit laws.

What about Republicans that have been discriminated against for 20 years by the Democrats?

Why not prohibit any and all recommendations from anyone on applications?

I understand the "wrong-doers" were pardoned, but what about all the merit employees who were harmed by their actions? What redress do they have?

Recommendation 1 gives you increased authority in protecting merit employees. Given the fact that your Chief of Staff and Deputy Secretary were both indicted for alleged violations of merit system statutes and regulations under your watch as Secretary; why should merit employees trust you to protect us?

It seems that recommendation 1 conflicts with the other recommendations, could you please define and explain this recommendation?

Is the word "existing" necessary in the 1st proposed amendment?

Does an existing merit employee include a person that is still on probation?

Can you use another word besides "deleterious" in sub-recommendation A? I thought regs were written in plain language.

How is the task force going to protect not only initial selection, but clearing probation with out political influence?

I would like you to check on 275 crew in District 12. They got 4 employees in a made up job through political influence.

In Recommendation 1 what does existing employee mean? What happens to new employees?

With all the hiring process abuse in this administration, why would the task force want to change the penalty?

Who will make up the state panel? (will they be appointed by the governor or made up of state employees?)

Why did the task force request a statistical count of voter registration Dem/Rep/Ind? How was this data used in the recommendations?

Recommendations 1 and 7 are so vague it is troubling. They need to be fully developed.

Bar employment permanently from state government if any crime is committed while doing state business.

All directors and asst. directors conduct the interviews, the end result is more of their friends get hired.

In the task force minutes, why did a member inquire as to how many employees from each party work in state government? How is that relevant to improving the merit system protections?

Why is this task force suddenly so concerned with job skills and abilities of prospective merit employees when for 1 ½ years the current administration filled merit jobs with anybody that threw a campaign contribution its way?

Not listed as a recommendation. However, in this administration, I've seen unqualified people in our cabinet promoted. Management has shown favoritism and promoted those people for whatever reasons. What about those people who are qualified and actually do the work? Don't you think that promotion should not be political? We all know they have been in this administration despite what might be said.

No penalties if violated- Needs penalties added.

Do not weaken the criminal penalty for violating the merit system. Political hiring is outrageous.

Make a felony or keep as a misdemeanor.

Why not create an "independent merit system referral office" staffed by an executive director and a five member panel consisting 2 Republican legislators, 2 Democrats legislators, and 1 independent citizens member to screen merit system referrals?

Training is mentioned for those who are responsible for hiring so they understand about not using political ties but what about the other responsibilities? There should be training specifically for managers and above.

Please add a proposal to make it illegal for any official employee or their agent to research a persons' political status or background. Promotions should be based on performance and ability, not politics.

Is there any concern about past discriminations over the previous 20-30 years where we now have approximately 80% Democrats hired in KYTC? How is this obvious problem addressed?

It is not uncommon today to see "Affirmative Action Plans" and quotas to correct other kinds of discriminations (historical). What about the KYTC situation?

Who will cover legal fees for the Commonwealth and employees? What about paying back employees salaries?

A comment regarding Sub-recommendation B: Include language in certification that specifically states the signer did not request or investigate the political status of an individual prior to interview or hiring. Include language that requires hiring person to report comments made as to political status to upper management.

A comment regarding Sub-recommendation C: too much authority with the Secretary. A more objective review of politics involved.

A comment regarding Sub-recommendation C: Keeping in mind that the Secretary is appointed by the Governor, I have a concern that he will be reviewing political hiring. And at what point will the Secretary make this decision? Will an employee have to file a grievance and go through processes before reaching the Secretary for final decisions?

A comment regarding Sub-recommendation C: What if the hired person had no idea he/she was hired because of political status? How can you penalize that person? What "proof" would you require that the person hired was not aware of political status in consideration of job offer?

A comment regarding Sub-recommendation D: too many negatives to interpret easily.

Under certification item (1) considers studying the concept of "knowingly". This leaves too much ambiguity knowingly or unknowingly, there are behaviors that are inappropriate in the selection and hiring of employees. Adopt an ethics code mandating that no one on any selection committee may have any direct or indirect personal or professional ties to candidates.

Establish minimum guidelines for training, education, and experience of candidates—and follow them.

Establish reasonable standard salary guidelines—and follow them.

Patronage should be eliminated entirely from 18A hiring decisions.

At the highest levels in non-merit positions, the Governor's office should model the highest ethical standards. The leadership should recognize that government in a public trust and should behave accordingly. Hiring inexperienced people and giving them some of the states highest salaries is a crime of fraud against the public.

Should there be no qualified candidates available for a merit position; any deviation form normal hiring decisions should be reviewed by an independent watchdog committee. Any reclassifying a position or movement of personnel at any level should be thoroughly reviewed.

Conduct random reviews of hiring practices and committee decisions and publicize results in a report on an annual basis. Carefully scrutinize the creation of new positions to ensure the need and establish guidelines for hiring criteria. Monitor for evidence of discrimination on political, racial, religious, disability, or other status.

If you want to remove the specter of political influence and patronage in merit hiring, increase the penalty to felony status with some minimum mandatory jail time. For white collar criminals it is the loss of prestige after conviction of you want it stopped it will stop with this minor change. To remove the penalty to just cutting a whole in the henhouse fence for the fox to get in.

How do you make a differentiation between KRS 18A.140 and 18A.150? I understand ceasing to impose criminal penalties for failure to maintain records etc, but I do not understand dropping criminal penalties for political discrimination.

Why are you eliminating taking felony actions against breaking the rules of the Merit System? These would protect the Republicans now in charge, right?

Will gubernatorial pardons still protect those who choose to ignore recommendations? If so, what type of compensation will "victims" of these infractions receive?

What good is it to add criminal acts if the Governor has the authority to give pardons?

Regarding criminal acts: Does this include being dishonest regarding timesheets? A fellow employee has continuously been dishonest regarding time. The branch manager is aware but chooses to do nothing.

We know this meeting was the result of these criminal actions by the current administration. What can the task force do to prove to employees that this mess will not happen again? The state and the employees need to be protected above the current political party.

These actions should remain criminal.

Until the recent hiring abuses occurred, the merit system worked and worked well. How will the merit system change to prevent these abuses from happening again?

Is this committee recommending decriminalization of Merit law violators in reference to political discrimination? If so, why?

According to the paper you all were ready to vote on the recommendations. Does it appear that all criminal penalties will be removed from Recommendation #7?

## TAB B

**Recommendation 2** 

**HRIS System, Promotional & Career Ladders** 

Who decides what qualifications are needed for a job? What are the benefits of "qualifying" over training, experience, and testing?

What is the target date for the HR Information System? Will it include payroll?

Are they or are they not proposing to discontinue current non-vacancy registers? Many vacancies are not known about by just posting for 10 days. Current workers do not and should not have the time to continually review for vacancies for which they qualify.

When a state employee with 4 years with the state resigns one day and comes back the next day with a large salary increase, is he considered a state employee or outside candidate?

Does not have a full range of job opportunity for veteran employees as far as career development.

Will these changes include the ability to promote existing employees (as opposed to reclassifying) without being considered pre-selection? We need the ability to do this in order to keep good employees without the employee having to job hop between offices just to get raises.

If the registers are eliminated, how will current employees transfer to another agency? Will we be competing with the general public for jobs?

Is there any possibility of updating the exams? Many of the exams have little of nothing to do with the position an applicant is applying for.

Will recommendation #2 address the issue of registers containing outdated applicants and not being able to query on the application date?

When reclassifications are done allow all employees who are being reclassed to receive the monetary reward, not only the newer employees.

I think the promotion for active, good standing employees v. new employee is GREAT!

Shouldn't non-merit employees have experience, skills, and knowledge for the job they are being well paid to perform? There should be standard criteria for upper level jobs?

Why do away with the tests? They show at least a minimum grasp of the basics.

How is eliminating registers for career path promotions being clarified? Where can we get a copy of "Proposed Future Hiring Processes?

Use better processes to purge names from the register after a certain length of time.

Keep top 5 %. This is the best way to have a fair, uniform process. Update process to provide information qualified applicants within limits of merit registers.

Would entry level job related skills testing and top 5 score ranking be kept in place? When initially applying for a position in state government, I think it is important that applicants be required to demonstrate their basic clerical, math, logical, and social skills/decision making skills on an objective test, rather than solely through interviews, applications, and resumes which are more subjective.

What are the "correct" steps for filling a position within an office? Should the supervisor be allowed to fill positions without posting them, having employees in an "acting" position until they have been there long enough to qualify for the position?

Exactly how will the "new" internal mobility program differ from the existing one?

How will internal mobility work under your new proposal?

What has been the discussion regarding promotional opportunities for merit employees if the "internal mobility list" process is altered?

Seniority is something all employees have worked for. After 20 years in state government it is totally unfair for someone off the street to come in at a better employee's rate of pay or even to take a job the employee has worked hard for. The Merit System works!

Seniority shouldn't be controlling but hopefully considered.

Why is an Office of Merit System Referral necessary? If a person qualifies to go through testing why would they to go through this office? This appears to be a totally political and unnecessary move.

The internal mobility register should not be eliminated. We are not competing with outside possible hires only with fellow state workers. Why do you want to hurt promotional chances for employees?

What are your plans for Internal Mobility?

What provisions will be in place to assure that promotion positions will be available to all employees and given the opportunity to apply. How will employees be allowed to compete for those positions?

Will the new system better streamline the process of being put on the register?

Will the new system better streamline the process of being put on the register? I waited four hours to be put on a register for supervisors.

Will internal mobility process stay as is?

How will vets or military service be given preference?

The direction has been to condense grades and classifications fro some time now, how does that coincide with the comment about increasing promotional opportunities? What options will exist to recognize employees who do out standing work but have no room for promotions or career growth?

Why does the task force want to eliminate seniority from consideration for internal promotion?

Why do you think seniority does not count when looking at a promotion?

There should be provisions to allow employees to be offered another position within the same pay grade classifications and receive some increase (say 2%) in pay, not just in promotion situations.

Was any consideration given to a dual career track? One for employees to advance in responsibility and money and one for employees to become managers. Now basically the only way to advance is to become a supervisor/manager. Many times the person doesn't have the skills needed.

How does this affect internal promotions across "job families" not just within? Also will existing employees be eligible to compete for open jobs within other cabinets?

Please clarify "career path promotions".

Some agencies have had temp/Adecco staff doing program work for years. Will those current temp staffers be given a designated advantage when applying for competitive fill vacancies? Can they be considered for internal promotion opportunities?

Explain the "proposed future hiring process"

What type of qualification process would be used?

Will this allow for promotion with out position change?

How will you enforce job requirements/qualifications?

Seniority should be rewarded. Why not?

Promotion of Internal Mobility Employees! What provisions will be in place to assure that promotion positions will be available to all employees and opportunity to apply. How will employees be allowed to compete for those positions?

Because of a number of retirees leaving state employment, state agencies have become under staffed creating a back log of permits and log time in responding to applicants and general questions. With hiring and filling vacancies being in some cases over a year. What is being proposed to avoid these situations?

Internal Mobility and promotional opportunities must remain for our staff.

We need to distinguish between "promotion" and "reclassification" more clearly.

Online testing gives opportunity for cheating. It is very easy to use textbooks or study guides from KDLA. Monitoring needs to be in effect to ensure equality.

Do away with the practice of allowing IM candidates to resign from a position to be reappointed the next day at a higher salary. This is a practice I see as an abuse of the system.

Under the current interview process, any minority candidates can be rejected for promotion based on communication skills, character, or relationship with the member of the community and most cases there is no letter to genuine candidates who worked more than ten years in the department and know most of the work. Is there any recommendation that the committees will select the most qualified candidates, not the most likeable, with the most workable knowledge, evaluations, higher degrees etc.? Sometimes interview committees talk of successful candidates. Is there any laws defining "successful"?

Are you planning to offer promotions from within an office without advertising on internal mobility? For example, if a supervisor retires can an employee be promoted within the office without pulling a register and interviewing outside candidates?

How can you guarantee that these "Career Paths" for promotions will not lead to more favoritism?

I think that is unfair for an internal employee being hired from a competitive register at mid-point.

With changes in the Internal Mobility program of complete elimination what incentives are left for career employees when they are basically on the same level now with someone coming in from outside of government? Does this mean that employee has gone as far as he/she can go despite years or loyal service and dedication?

If agencies would like to promote an employee (current employee) from within the agency/office, does this promotion have to go through the register? Does the agency have to interview other candidates, even though they already have someone in mind to hire?

If there is not going to be a change to internal mobility, why have any recommendations concerning the subject at all?

Will internal mobility exist only for people moving up within a classification (example Administrative Assistant I to an Administrative Assistant II) or will it also be for moving up outside of a "job family" (from a grade 12 to a grade 13)?

Please comment on the rumor that stated these changes would involve making it more difficult for internal mobility candidates to make lateral or upward job changes. Is this being considered?

Could you please touch base on the "Future Hiring Process" example application process, qualifying process and register process?

If you have a strong internal candidate for promotion, is there a way to promote them without wasting others time going through the current process of interviewing?

If there is a ladder system for promotion, I feel that some employees have worked for 30 years and they may not be as knowledgeable as a worker who has been here for 5 years. Promotions should be on proven knowledge and ability rather than years of service.

Don't change need standard process for all applicants. Keep merit registers.

Need to update test and make more in line with jobs.

Keep testing, written and T&E. Do not make the selection methods flexible. It is unfair to non political applicants and long term employees waiting for a promotion.

## TAB C

Recommendations 3, 8, 20, 21, & 22

### **EEO**

Recruitment of qualified veterans, minorities, women and disabled
Affirmative Action Plan
Update to statutes to permit an Affirmative Action Plan

## Recommendation 3, 8, 20, 21, & 22: EEO / Recruitment of qualified veterans, minorities, women and disabled / Affirmative Action Plan, Update to statutes to permit an Affirmative Action Plan.

What is done to ensure top/good salaries are provided to challenged persons (ADA) doing the same job as others (same type of employment).

We have plenty of lower grade minorities, women, and disabled, but nearly no merit employees who are managers. How will this be improved?

Please clarify recommendation 3?

How will recommendation 3 help state government?

Wouldn't recommendation 3 serve to create "favored" groups for hiring as opposed to meritorious hiring?

In restructuring affirmative action goals, once an agency has achieved its goal will this prohibit future hiring of "qualified" minorities to fill vacancies?

Affirmative action is reverse discrimination. Hire on qualifications, NOT skin color or gender. Bring candidates back for additional interviews but do not hire them because someone happens to be different. This only widens the gap and separation instead of creating a team. Qualifications and ability to do the work should be the deciding factor ONLY.

"Numbers" to each job category is a bad idea because then the possibility of being hired or promoted into a job could inadvertently have to be based on minority numbers and not on qualifications so I'd say no to #20.

I don't understand this recommendation – "disparity" – what kind of disparity? The disparity is that non-merit employees are appointed on their ability to make campaign contributions. The merit employees can't afford to make contributions, but they for the most part are qualified to do the jobs they hold. Why waste money studying that?

What type of disparities is the study looking for and why?

Train who, managers, directors, all employees?

Amend KRS 18A.440 (1). As proposed it does not include "sexual orientation" in its prohibition against discrimination. Patton's executive order currently includes this protection. Why was it not included in this amending of 18A?

Matching the federal law which prohibits employment practices which discriminates on grounds of race, color, religion, sex, and national origin excludes some groups of people who are currently protected in the merit system's discrimination statement. Is it the intent of this panel to take away this protection from a large percentage of current employees and future applicants?

# Recommendation 3, 8, 20, 21, & 22: EEO / Recruitment of qualified veterans, minorities, women and disabled / Affirmative Action Plan, Update to statutes to permit an Affirmative Action Plan.

Recommendation #8 fails to mention age, grounds of race, color, religion, sex, and national origin.

Based on age – no promotion for older career employees.

I recommend including in the study the protected class of "members of a political party" that may have been discriminated against over the past 30 years. This will indicate whether the merit system has indeed protected all political party members from "political discrimination with KRS 18A.140 (1).

Why not include in qualified members of the political party where in history and statistics clearly shows past discrimination against that party on an overall or location basis? Make it an objective to try to correct past discriminations.

In Recommendation #8 when it say "Amend to clearly permit an affirmative action program", I believe this action is aimed at correcting past discriminations to/against such named groups. Likewise, if past discriminations have occurred against one political party and favor the other, why not correct it? Wrongs are wrongs. I request that correcting past political party discriminations be included here.

What would some of the statutory changes be in regards to increasing efforts for recruiting and hiring qualified veterans, minorities, women, and peoples with disabilities?

Before you have sanctions for Affirmative Action you would need to change the application form to require information and be able to "sort" based on these fields.

I am not sure how this recommendation is going to work. They (women, minorities, ect.) are already working through state government. Why not train and work with them to promote them within state government? Not everyone has a degree. Most employees came right from high school and this is there career. Why did this come about now? Is it to protect political employees only?

Affirmative action is good but we need to hire qualified people for the jobs. Can a stronger Affirmative Action program be implemented without violating the rights of other qualified candidates?

So if you are not over the age of 40 is it ok to be discriminated against?

It has been the Republicans stance in the past to do away with Affirmative Action. In looking at the recommendations it appears that a lot of them hinge on AA. How can you reconcile these opposing views?

We need Affirmative Action for minorities. I am not in the minority status except for being a female.

## Recommendation 3, 8, 20, 21, & 22: EEO / Recruitment of qualified veterans, minorities, women and disabled / Affirmative Action Plan, Update to statutes to permit an Affirmative Action Plan.

Replace "sex" with gender and add sexual orientation to the list of discriminations.

Recommendation #3 states "to increase efforts for recruiting and hiring qualified veterans, minorities, women, and disabled. How is the state going to "increase those efforts? What are the plans?

We are a diverse society which is not reflected in the hiring practices, promotions, or handling problems stemming from racial/cultural orientation. Therefore all Personnel Board and Personnel Cabinet employees should be required to complete a minimum of 8 hours of cultural/diversity awareness training by a qualified minority or team of minorities. Therefore trainers must reflect minorities not the majority which is white males and white females. All supervisors, managers, commissioners, department commissioners, cabinet secretaries, and cabinet deputy secretaries must pass an 8 hour diversity training course as well.

Please state and explain the current wording of KRS 18A and how it is a violation of state law as currently written to utilize affirmative action?

On section 8 as you are listing persons not to be discriminated against you failed to mention age discrimination. I do not want to see an age limitation instituted that is a "mandatory recruitment age" nor do I want to see other types of "age discrimination".

Please clarify affirmative action. If indeed this change would permit a persons gender, race, religion, etc to be the determining factor, we feel this is unacceptable as it is not relevant to their capabilities to do the job. As a minority, this would be offensive to know my hiring was based on something other than my qualifications. Implement an interviewing process that is more in depth than one interview or 10 questions.

A lot of minorities do not have college degrees so there aren't a lot of options for them. What do you plan to do to help those people who have a high school diploma but no college education?

How will the recruitment and hiring of veterans, minorities, women, and disabled be carried out if they are to compete on a level playing field? Do you have an example?

Does the Blue Ribbon Commission intend to remove the protections of veteran's status, disability, age, gender identity, and sexual orientation by reverting the Patton executive order to match the federal guidelines?

## TAB D

## **Recommendation 4**

Study feasibility of background check

#### Section 4: Background Checks

What would be the purpose of background checks other than criminal as it relates to merit employees? What other background checks would be included?

NCIC checks? Will having a felony conviction keep you from getting hired?

How do you intend on covering the cost?

Have NCIC checks for out of state employees only? The Administrative Office of the Courts provides all court records and violation records for Kentucky residents. NCIC checks are allowed only when a criminal investigation is being conducted or for a law enforcement position and then conducted by the law enforcement personnel. Back ground checks are great, but NCIC is going too far. This would be a major liability for Kentucky state government in dealing with people's personal information.

## TAB E

**Recommendation 5** 

**Ongoing review of merit system** 

#### Recommendation 5: Ongoing review of merit system

Who will be reviewing the ongoing review of the merit system?

States that there "will" be an annual review and report. In the booklet it states 'should' be, does that mean that an annual review and report will not be enforced under should instead of will?

An annual review of 18A and KAR 101 sounds like overkill.

This looks like any changes made through this task force could be undone at a later date. What are these "previous recommendations" that have not been implemented?

# TAB F Recommendation 6 Burrowing

#### Recommendation 6: Burrowing

How will recommendation 6 be good for current merit employees?

How will one year probationary period solve anything?

How can you discriminate against a person who is qualified to do the job, just because they held a non-merit position?

I agree with recommendation 6.

Prohibit borrowing all together.

Recommendation 6 is not strong enough.

Merit staff should not be deliberately forced out of their positions to make room for "burrowers". Insert specific language that prohibits merit staff from being forced to resign so that their position can be given to someone with political ties.

Please clarify what determines a career employee? Such as, years of service, and merit/non-merit years (Some state positions are not listed as either one). Will there be exceptions when a person is a long term or (career) employee when changing from a non-merit to a merit?

If a non-merit employee would like to apply for merit positions and have never been a merit employee, are they under Recommendation 6 to wait one year and one year probation?

Are non-merit employees allowed to apply for other positions prior to the end of the 6 months probationary period or is it a violation of the merit system?

In light of the press on current administration hiring, will there be an investigation regarding the political burrowing (staff assistants) in the early part of this administration? (Qualifications, hiring practices vs. those who changed their political affiliations at hire time.)

## TAB G

### **Recommendation 9**

Clarify & Distinguish the authority of the Personnel Cabinet and the Personnel Board to promulgate regulations

## Recommendation 9: Clarify & Distinguish the authority of the Personnel Cabinet and the Personnel Board to promulgate regulations

Personnel issues are to be handled at what level? Shouldn't an impartial board make these decisions and not the Director, Commissioner, or the Secretary?

# TAB H Recommendations 10 & 14 Grievance & Mediation

#### Recommendations 10 & 14: Grievance & Mediation

Who would serve as a mediator?

Why have mediation?

Will more full time mediators be hired?

I feel that some situations are not handled professionally and this would be bad. We should be able to use out state personnel when needed. To my knowledge that is what they are there for, correct?

Who chooses the group of peers?

Would the records of peer reviews or mediation be admissible in a Personnel Board Meeting?

How will you protect an employee who has filed a grievance from retribution and future discrimination bases on that action?

The peer review should be voted on by the merit employees and selected by employees.

The Personnel Board is a neutral party and if issues were kept at the agency level then fairness would be gone.

I agree with recommendation 10.

Recommendation 14 refers to additional funds for Personnel – convenient!

What is the proposed cost to implement the Peer Review Panel? Describe the benefits of adding a step to the conflict resolution process when both Peer Review and Personnel Board involve a third party making a decision regarding their case.

Why not revise the statute that allows the Governor to appoint members to the Personnel Board? There is no reason that 5 of the 7 should be appointed by the Governor. If more merit employees served on the Board, then we may not need "peer review committee". The current make up of the Board is not representative of state government or the general population. All seven members are white and only 1 female. All five current members appointed by the Governor are white males. This is an embarrassment.

Revise regulations to allow "formal" appeals to Personnel Board 30 days after department/cabinet appeal is final, not 30 days after effective date. At this time you must file "formal" appeal within 30 days or lose your appeal rights.

#### Recommendations 10 & 14: Grievance & Mediation

With the proposed change regarding mediation, will the timing of filing for appeal to the Personnel Board be extended?

The Peer Review Committee would consist of who and how would they be chosen? How long would they serve?

Do recommendation 10 and 14 do away with lawsuits? I certainly hope not.

Mediation is supposed to be between 2 willing participants. If mediation is required how do you intend to accomplish anything? Both parties must be willing and open to mediation.

A lot of the problems are with management. It would never get resolved. I totally disagree with recommendation #10.

Doesn't the grievance procedure already follow the chain of command before the Personnel Board? A grievance can already be settled in a department or cabinet if the Director or Secretary is not a complete idiot.

The added layers to the grievance process seems to add additional time for the statuette of limitations to run out. Need to add time to limitations.

Can there be more support and information sharing to rank and file on mediation and grievance processes? Supervisors have the full force of the agency and legal team. Employees are all alone. Once a grievance is filed or even suggested they are no longer a part of the agency.

How about requiring the mediator to make a written (published) recommendation on cases that are not settled? This would make parties more cooperative.

Why add the peer review process if mediation has been so successful? Will peer review not be a privacy nightmare?

In recommendation #14 you need to specify how the peer group is formed?

Supervisors and other management are very often noting more than "yes men". Rank and file employees should have avenues outside their agencies to address personnel issues. Don't you agree?

Do you really believe an agency will be an impartial judge in dispute between an employee and his supervisor? Remember that a supervisor is rubbing elbows with agency management.

Currently an appointing authority has the ability to refuse mediation in settling grievances. Under the proposed changes, if a merit employee seeks mediation; can the appointing authority refuse this method of settlement?

## TAB I

**Recommendation 11** 

**Review Reporting Requirements** 

#### **Recommendation 11: Review Reporting Requirements**

How does recommendation 11 relate to the merit system?

## TAB J

## **Recommendation 12**

Personnel Board Written Decisions to be available for review electronically

## Recommendation 12: Personnel Board Written Decisions to be available for review electronically

Is recommendation 12 a violation of the Privacy Act?

Will the Personnel Board decision have the names of the personnel listed?

## **TAB K**

**Recommendation 15** 

Personnel Board to be subject to Executive Branch Ethics Code

## Recommendation 15: Personnel Board to be subject to Executive Branch Ethics Code

Why doesn't Recommendation #15 include non merit employees? Even if the Personnel Board would not want to track their grievances they should track as stated in #1.

Who would appoint the State Government Committee?

## TAB L

### **Recommendation 16**

**Employees placed on paid leave pending dismissal** 

#### Recommendation 16: Employees placed on paid leave pending dismissal

Delete "his" and correct to read make "a" determination.

I think a time limit (1year, 2 year, etc) should be set on paid leave because it seems to me that someone could be potentially be on paid leave for the rest of their life.

Isn't this recommendation already in use?

Is there a maximum for length of time an employee would be entitled to paid leave?

# TAB M Recommendation 17 Drug Testing

Shouldn't you find out if there is a problem with drug use by state employees first?

Will you also be testing for meth and other synthetic drugs?

Why test only Executive Branch employees?

Drug testing should apply to all state employees, not a select few who have jobs requiring alertness and safety. Illegal drugs use should be illegal for any and all employees otherwise it is discrimination.

Drug testing should be for all state employees.

Drug testing is very needed.

What about prescription medication?

What about employees taking medications? This medication might make a person seem under the influence however it is prescribed by a doctor. Will it be a violation of HIPPA to order them to take a drug test? Will it violate the employee's right of privacy concerning their health?

I strongly support drug testing.

Will all employees be tested for drugs?

Who will be able to request someone be drug or alcohol tested? I feel any employee should be able to, if it affects the safety of others.

Who will be conducting the drug tests?

Who will have access to the drug test results?

What type of test will be done? (blood, hair, urine)

You mentioned its limited random testing, then why not stipulate the classifications that would be subject?

How is random drug testing employee friendly?

Aren't you much more likely to simply be harassing non-users than deterring users?

If drug/alcohol testing is implemented, how many times an employee shows positive reading would be grounds to terminate?

If random drug testing is implemented for existing employees how will the privacy issues be addressed since the Commonwealth is now self-insured?

Will current employees go through drug testing or just new hires?

Isn't random drug testing of public employees in violation of the Right to Privacy Act?

It may be pretty humiliating to be asked to submit to a random alcohol/drug test. Can you more clearly define "reasonable suspicion"?

How will implementation of a drug testing program be paid for?

As to recommendation 17, is it any of the state's business what I do on my own time?

What would warrant reasonable suspicion drug testing?

Would the agency pay the cost for drug testing?

Who will be able to request someone be drug or alcohol tested? I feel any employee should be able to, if it affects the safety of others.

I think recommendation 17 is one excellent idea. However, I believe all classes hired should be randomly tested at least once a year.

I think recommendation 17 is one excellent idea. However, I believe all classes, directcare as well as administrative (operational director, assistant, clerical, everyone) should be randomly tested at least once a year. (REPEAT COMMENT)

It is unclear (to me) the depth of this change. It should be allowed to test a person for alcohol/ drug use ON THE JOB, but for drug or alcohol use on their own time? Will that be done?

On the drug screening issue...Who will be completing these tests? Will it be a doctor's office, a hospital, a private business? Who will have access to the results? What type of test blood, urine, or hair sample?

On recommendation 17, I have cost concerns for the initial testing. However, I agree with reasonable suspicion testing. I strongly disagree with random testing. This is a free country and whether or not someone has a drink during off hours is no concern of mine, nor should it be of yours!

I wholeheartedly disagree with random drug testing (for employees not in positions that may endanger others) on the basis of privacy and personal liberties. I strongly agree with the proposed modification to Recommendation 17 by John Brown, III.

I'm not on parole or probation. I don't need the government monitoring my personal life. This is way too intrusive, boarding on offensive.

Everyone should be drug tested.

How can you constitute spending money from a poor budget on drug testing when there no apparent problem in state government that I am aware of? What constitutes "reasonable suspicion"? This money could be spent in much more needed way that would benefit the Commonwealth.

Why does this recommendation not also include employees of the Legislative and Judicial Branches of state government? Is it not more important that our Legislators be drug/alcohol free?

If you will be drug testing merit employees, will you also be drug testing appointed individuals as well?

How do you plan to pay for recommendations like drug testing and other cost effective recommendations? Considering the pay increments are not currently keeping up with inflation, will these new recommendations affect pay raises?

Honestly I am not worried about what my coworkers did on Friday night. Can't random drug tests be abused by non-merit staff and disgruntled co-workers?

Generalized drug testing is illegal and unconstitutional!

I can understand pre employment and reasonable suspicion drug testing but random drug testing is a slap in the a professional employee's face and seems to be an attempt to try and legislate morality.

Is there numerical data drawn from Kentucky state government employees that support the recommendation for random drug testing? And if this data exist will it be made available to employees and the general public?

I agree with the suspicious testing but what will the cost be for pre-employment and random drug/alcohol testing?

What place does drug testing have in the Merit System which was designed to protect employees from political influence?

If random drug/alcohol testing is implemented then it is essential that provisions are clearly stated regarding allowance for discipline. With an example using Secretary Roberts: An employee is suspected of being at work under the influence. Will the task force put forth clear guidelines that allow an agency to address this issue including disciplinary procedures?

There are currently employees whose classifications require the employee to maintain a commercial driver's license. These employees are drug and alcohol tested under 49 CFR part 40. These tests are considered under D.O.T. Employees whose classifications do not require commercial driver's license, these tests would be considered not D.O.T. There has to be clarification between D.O.T and non D.O.T. when considering implementation.

I disagree with random testing. If I am a productive state employee based on the employee evaluations, you better have a good reason to receive any type of drug testing.

Does recommendation #17 apply to the Governor, his staff, and non merit employees or does it only apply to merit employees?

How can the state drug test 30,000 employees but they can not afford to give a 5% annual increment?

I support the proposed modification to remove "and random drug/alcohol testing". We only need to impose random drug testing for critical employees (heavy equipment operators).

I do not agree with random drug testing. That is insulting and appears to be an intimidation tactic. Drug testing should only be done when there is reasonable suspicion.

Will non-merit employees also be drug tested? What will happen if there is a positive result? What about false positives?

Why is drug testing necessary for merit employees? Where will you get the money to pay for the testing?

Except under "reasonable suspicion" why should private behavior be a condition of employment?

Why does a condition of employment drug testing need to be under KRS 18A? Shouldn't this include non-merit too?

How does the task force reconcile this recommendation in regard to public employee's 4th amendment right to privacy as established in the Bill of Rights and supported by decisions made by the U.S. Supreme Court? What would such a measure cost to be implemented to the administration? Is this in conflict with the spirit of HIPPA?

## TAB N Recommendation 18 Military Leave

#### **Recommendation 18: Military Leave**

Military time shouldn't rollover to the next year, wouldn't this save money?

I suggest we change the federal fiscal year to the calendar year which works better with the Kentucky Employee Time Keeping System.

## TAB O

**Recommendation 19** 

**Uniformed Leave Request Form** 

#### Recommendation 19: Uniformed Leave Request Form

Will recommendation 19 affect sick leave converted to service time?

Would implementation of recommendation 19 mean we would not get extra leave time to vote or for blood donation?

Leave forms for comp, annual and sick time appear to be unnecessary paperwork that will duplicate timesheet entries and create more work for staff and management.

Not all agencies sign in and out daily. So there isn't a uniform procedure.

Make this standard request form electronic and expand it to cover employee timesheets as well.

## TAB P Recommendation 23 Classifications

#### **Recommendation 23: Classifications**

To avoid salary compression, please consider establishing steps in a career path much like the federal government pay system.

Education requirements do no t seem to match higher pay grades. Some lower grade levels require BS degrees while 16 & 17 levels do not. Will there be more classifications that account for experience for BS.

I would like to know if grades 14 & 15 of the Right of Way Agent classification could be separated. Right now pay scale is the same. Could you please work on this so that Right of Way Agent II is a grade 14 and Right of Way Agent II is a grade 15.

Will there be any job security for a merit employee if a classification is dissolved or eliminated? Can that person be demoted?

What classifications should be broadened?

We should narrow the pay ranges.

Where does this leave numerous people in same job title?

What is wrong with specific classifications for certain cabinets?

Would compression of the classifications be done all at one time?

Non- Engineer environmentalists (relative to engineers) are underpaid and don't have as many advancement opportunities. Is that a concern?

Job Security for a merit employee if job position is dissolved or eliminated? Can they be demoted?

General job classes are not necessarily the best thing in all areas. Specialties are necessary. As someone who deals with hiring for our agency, it is difficult enough to find the right match. Please don't try to make us fit a square peg in a round hole. There really needs to be specialized field categories because classifications are too general sometimes. Has anyone looked at closing the classification loop hole?

Currently, agencies have Carte Blanche discretion to demote, promote, etc., with very little and often times without any recourse by employees. Please recognize that many classifications cover specific jobs that meet state and federal requirements. How will agencies hire personnel that meet these specific requirement, but under a broader and more general classification?

What happened to the payroll classification that were suggested and developed a couple of years ago? Why weren't they implemented?

What difference does it make how many job classifications there are?

#### **Recommendation 23: Classifications**

What about the problem of people leaving a division for a promotion versus staying where they are and only getting a re-class?

Are they going to evaluate non-merit positions also so as to not replace the old "principal assistants" with at least 7 different position descriptions with no management responsibilities?

In regard to Recommendation 23, not only should the inactive and other classes that only have a few employees in them be re-classed and the classifications made "broader", but you should re-class some of the current employees especially in the Personnel Cabinet. The Personnel Cabinet is the 'backbone' of the merit system and deal with hundreds of applicants, phone calls, registers, supporting information, etc., why does this division have some of the largest low-class positions in state government? We deal with classified information such as merit tests and all information on employees and applicants and most of the employees are at grades 8 and 9, when right across the hall and down the hall people are doing the same work (less actually), but employees in Workers Comp and Employee Insurance are at grades 12 and 14?

I am a member of the Geologist Series in EPPC/DEP. This year, members of the ENGINERING SERIES were reclassified to provide a broader and higher career ladder than that of the geologists, who often work side-by-side with the engineers and perform the same or similar reviews. When we filed a personnel action, Mr. Honeycutt, on behalf of Secretary Roberts, offered the following defense: "KRS 18A does not require us to be fair." Mr. Honeycutt refused meditation. In light of Mr. Honeycutt's position, how does Secretary Roberts propose to "....foster a better employer/employee relationship" as he stated in today's meeting?

Reduce classifications. What would happen to those employees within seldom used classifications? Would anything new be created?

In private business corporations and non profit organizations, job titles are specific to the need of the organization. Why should state government not keep the SPECIFIC job titles created to serve a specific need within the cabinet?

What is the purpose/benefit to the state to broaden a job classification title when many agencies have specific needs that are not served by the broad titles? For example, Interpreters have a specific skill that should be in the job classification advertisement to get a qualified employee. Without this specific requirement, obtaining a skilled qualified interpreter would not be possible.

On Recommendation 23, there might be new classifications that are needed and satisfy new needs that the community is struggling with. We need new classifications for bilingual personnel. The fact that there are few positions available does not necessarily mean we do not need those. On the contrary, the Administration should open the merit system to other minorities besides African-Americans.

#### **Recommendation 23: Classifications**

I disagree with this recommendation because it is too difficult now to find classifications for our positions. We are trying to make jobs fit into a position that does not apply to what they really need to do.

Since Staff Attorney II was supposedly collapsed into the Staff Attorney III classification, are you contemplating eliminating the Staff Attorney III position and reclassifying all of the Staff Attorney III positions into Staff Attorney II positions?

In August of 2005 I went home on a Friday as a Secretary III, Grade 9 and on Monday my manager was informed by email to let me know my job title had been reclassed to a clerical position and had become a Grade 8. Please comment.

Make a time limit on when you get an official response for reclassification status after you send your paperwork to your director. My reclass has been in limbo for 6-8 months due to a limited budget in my division. Now there are other employees that got their reclasses in earlier that are paid more. Reclasses should not be declined or delayed because of budgets being tight.

Why is this necessary and what are the savings of making such a change?

If the class specifications are reduced, they should also take steps to make things equal across the board for specification that are used by different agencies.

If the class specifications are reduced, they should also take steps to make things equal across the board for specifications that are used by different agencies, for example UI tax auditors are not on the same grade schedule as the other audit positions in the state.

Very concerned that blanket classification will hinder rather than help situation. Many Positions are specific to job tasks and cannot be lumped together.

The pay grade for all state employees who are affiliated with automotive needs to be reevaluated. As everyone knows the advancement of technology continues everyday as does the requirement of maintaining the knowledge and training on the auto industry. T1 garage C1 garage, Kentucky State garage

## TAB Q

### **Recommendation 24**

Annual Increment, maximum salary, ACE/ERA

Are you required to have one day of vacation for every year of service time to qualify for an ACE award?

Who decides or evaluates ACE & ERA awards?

Who decides or evaluates for ACE and ERA awards? (REPEAT QUESTION)

Are the ACE and ERA Awards going to be done away with?

To what extent are ACE and ERA awards now being given?

Why do some agencies still use the ACE award and Department of Education doesn't?

IN reviewing the employee recommendations dated October 20, 2005 I have become aware of the ERA & ACE awards. I understand it is common place in some departments and non-existent in others. Is there some way it can be publicized so everyone is aware of them. I believe some people are not aware of this achievement award.

Recommendation 24 seems to be in conflict, would lump sum apply to retirement salary? ACE & ERA awards have been withheld so how will that help?

I strongly disagree with creating maximum salary for a pay grade. Employees that have been career employees should not be treated differently than new employees. Lump sum payments would yield higher tax brackets. I think all cabinets should give ACE & ERA awards.

Why do some agencies have the money for awards and promotions and others say they have NO MONEY?

If annual increments end a the high pay grade, how about a 20 year retirement? Otherwise, please leave increments for all or increase salary ranges.

If you are an employee who has maxed out, when they get a raise will they get a percentage or a lump sum?

Please explain the rationale for a lump sum payment.

Wouldn't the lump sum payment really be a penalty, considering taxes?

If maximum salary is in place and a lump sum award is appropriate, would the lump sum award be considered as part of the annual salary? If not, retirement calculations/benefits will be reduced. How will the reduction be addressed? These recommendations seem to be really hurting people who have worked for the state for a long time. i.e., affecting his/her high 3 or 5, less increments, tax penalties for lump sums, etc. Why would you recommend these things?

Max pay would penalize employees by lower retirement. Should keep the annual increments.

If you are going to get around maximums with lump sums and awards, what is the point of creating a maximum at all? Wouldn't it become simply a way to reward a select few?

Large sum payments are not an effective or acceptable alternative to annual increments. Those of us that make very low salaries are going to be hurt. We need the state to compare private sector salaries to what we make. The benefits are deteriorating and the salaries are too low. Our salaries are not fair at all. There is no way to not hurt tenured longtime employees with the restructuring of the increment. The only way would be to exempt it. What is your plan for not hurting those employees?

If employees don't receive an increment raise then legislation should not.

Make it a felony if they fail to implement our annual increment!

Does this mean no more raises increments annually? Will it be eliminated?

This seems like an attempt to eliminate the annual increments. There is no way that maximum salaries will not have a discriminating effect on veteran employees (i.e., employees over 40- a protected class). Utilizing ACE and ERA Awards to replace annual increments is a bad idea because they are unbudgeted and at the discretion of the Appointing Authority. Here is a concept: Eliminate the ability of non-merit employees to resign and be re-appointed several times to boost their salaries. One time a year is bad enough, but 2 or 3 times a year?

Will the one probation period be applied to all individuals on probation due to promotions? Will we still have the 5% immediately and 5% after 6 months if you pass probation? I am still a state merit career employee.

When will we start to receive our full 5% incremental raise again rather than the lesser percents of previous years?

Would all annual increments be based on performance?

Would all annual increments be based on performance? (REPEAT QUESTION)

Will annual increment be a defined?

Currently we are not receiving the mandated increment, what does this do to for implementation of that?

Is it not written in statute we "shall" receive 5% annual increment? If so, why has this been reduced for the past several years? Fuel increases alone for the past 2 years should justify 5% increment.

Is this actually doing away with the 5% annual increment as required by current law?

Would this mean legislators or the Governor couldn't suspend or change the annual increment?

Salary conflicting with annual increments should be done with your higher paid executive job and leave the others alone.

What one person thinks is good performance is not the same as other people. Why does this administration want to go back to archaic methods like recommendation 24 rather than treating all employees the same by giving an annual increment to everyone?

This administration repeatedly states they haven't had people they want hired in recent years. Now that "their people" are getting merit jobs, why does the administration want to stab them in the back by capping salaries?

What about internal mobility?

When entrance salary rates are increased does that mean that exiting employees will get a raise too?

What about executive Branch employees who are governed by a Board rather than the Personnel Cabinet? Will their status and salary be considered the same as employees governed by the Personnel Cabinet?

Wouldn't this also cap an employee's retirement income?

Why should new people come to work for state government if the salaries are capped?

The task force was established to address the Governor's problems with illegal hiring activities. How did capping salaries come about? This is not fair to senior workers!

Would there also be a cost of living adjustment attached?

In regards to recommendation 24, would there also be a cost of living adjustment attached? (REPEAT QUESTION)

Do you feel recommendation 24 is forcing people to retire?

If a person is qualified beyond starting salary for an upper grade, they should be started at mid-point or higher.

How will this affect "ungraded" classifications that are only limited by the Governor?

What are the salary caps? I want specifics.

What does this do to a career employee that is satisfied with their current job, does a good job, and wants to stay in this position until retirement? Why should they be penalized because they are at the maximum for that grade?

How does the maximum pay grade affect those employees with 10-12 years until retirement?

Does Recommendation 24 come from the Hay Study? Does it mean that an Executive Secretary II could have a max of \$42,000.00 and would never receive more or less? In other words, the job can pay a high of \$42,000.00 and increments would be lump sum checks as well as any ACE or ERA awards?

If you are a maxed out employee you get merit raises when they give a raise. Will they get a percent or a lump sum? Example maxed out at 40,000 for your pay grade 5%=2,000. Will it be added on and next year you get a 5% of 42,000.

If grandfather of current staff doesn't pass what will happen to current staff regarding proposal 24?

Will salaries be at a maximum? Meaning, once you reach a certain salary you will not be able to make above that amount.

Why have a maximum salary?

Is there concern that a salary cap punishes career employees and provides a disincentive to engage in public service?

If an employee has a maximum salary cap and is awarded a lump sum instead of an increment, how will that be calculated in the high 5 or high 3 retirement calculation? If annual increments are awarded in lump sum payments, the employee is penalized by paying more taxes on money. This is a bad idea.

Is the purpose of Recommendation 24 to increase employee morale by not having employees seated side-by-side doing the exact same job but one making \$10,000.00 more per year?

On Recommendation 24, how do you readjust salaries for 1st and 2nd level managers who can't receive re-classes but are picking up more work because of attrition?

Yes, we should be required to follow the regulations of annual raises of 5%. The Governor does not have the legal right to reduce this figure to a lower %. No, we don't need the maximum salary on pay grades. If at the maximum salary an employee needs

to receive their total increment and it needs to be added to their base salary. Never allow this to hurt any employee in their salary or in their retirement. Maintain an annual increment as long as it's the same % raise across the board, i.e., 5% is 5% for all. Annual increments are cost of living raises.

Why is maximum or minimum involved?

If you will be capping merit salaries, will you also be capping the salaries and overtime payments to appointed persons as well?

Will steps be taken to insure that merit salaries are competitive with private industry and other states prior to the cap? Will there be improved insurance coverage as a result? Employees should not have to "top out" when they reach a certain salary, because then a disincentive will set in, which will lead to frequent turn-over, less professionalism and poor morale.

Does the increment used in conjunction with Recommendation 24 represent a sliding scale? If so, what scales have been discussed?

Why do you want to cap our salaries when the Commissioners get more than the statutes call for?

Should there be a salary cap? Would there be a cost of living raise? This is what others do.

Was this addressed as part of Recommendation 24: Establish an ongoing review to fix current inequities within each classification? If not, why not?

How is this conspired not hurting an employee when you impose a maximum salary? I am against this.

Will the minimum, midpoint and maximum pay grades be adjusted annually for all pay grades?

I would like more details on how pay grades will be established and updated. We are already having a hard time and not keeping up with the cost of living. Again, you are penalizing longevity.

In my field, there are not enough levels so that someone does not dead-end at grade 13 without becoming a supervisor. There should not be a maximum pay grade if you can't advance further even though you are required to take on more responsibilities and duties. For career employees there should be more levels so that you can advance in your field.

The cost of living continues to rise. You people have gotten substantial salaries; don't cut the rest of us out.

We already have a 5% increment. We would like to get it. Don't mess with it unless you plan to increase it.

Consider this statement please: If you implement a salary cap on pay grades this could discourage the best future employees from looking for a career in state government. Even if you "grandfather out", existing employees to be exempt from this change, a salary cap on increments will not instill any sort of loyalty to dedicated potential employees.

Aren't you penalizing a long term employee by capping salary?

Regarding alternatives to annual increments: I feel state employees who have been here many years deserve their increment, regardless of salary level. I understand the lump sum concept, but in receiving a lump sum instead of an increment each year, the employee's salary will never grow. Therefore, their lump sum amount will never grow. Also, the lump sum will most likely be taxed greater. Also, I do feel it is fair for non-merit employees to be making the decision when non-merits very often choose their own pay. If you have a hard working, loyal employee, they deserve to be treated well and awarded with a salary increase each year.

Why implement a salary cap on maximum salary? It hurts long term career employees.

Does Recommendation 24 take away the 5% annual increment that we are supposed to get by law or lower it?

Why not give all employees an annual increment regardless of the fact they may be at the top of the pay grade? Why make any distinctions? If you do make distinctions, how can it possibly help recruiting and retention?

Can you explain how it is not sex and age discrimination to cap salaries at the top level? It will be only older workers who obtain the top salary. These are people who will not be able to go out and get a better paying job.

At COT we are being overrun with contractors, Recommendation 24 does not help the problem, what do you plan to do to fix the problem?

Employees that are demoted under re-organization could be placed at maximum. Isn't this penalizing the employee over something he/she has no control over? Moreover, isn't this punishing the long term dedicated employee?

How can the system logistically cap salaries with no consideration given to cost of living increases (for those employees)? Will caps change to adjust cost of living increases? How is the 40 hour work week affected by the cap?

I was recently demoted from a grade 16 to a grade 14 due to reorganization change in my agency. If this law was not just for new employees it would really affect my retirement, because of the downgrade without a pay cut. I was not notified in advance nor was my supervisor (who has been demoted twice due to reorganization). Personnel law states a letter is required in advance. I was demoted on June 15, 2005 and I received a back dated P1 and a letter in September. I feel the Board should strongly consider the suggestions of only making it relevant to new employees.

Does Recommendation 24 mean the more years you put in the lower the increment? This is not a fair way to treat seniority or rewarded them. Lump sum is nothing, it will be washed up by taxes. This must be a joke.

Should the terms "pay grade for each salary" be stated "salary for each pay grade?"

When you reach maximum pay grade for your classification are you recommending that you no longer receive a raise but will get a lump sum payment instead? Is this for new hires or current employees?

Will the market survey include a full benefit analysis? i.e., full health, retirement and salary benefits.

Why a salary cap? KY State employees are 44th in the nation for state pay at best \$4,500.00 below other states for beginning salary. Many state employees receive Medicaid and Food Stamps. The only way a state employee makes decent money is to work for a long period of time with no cap. Caps are not in the employee's best interest. There are probably isn't a hand full of people in this room that make \$50,000.00 a year. Setting a maximum salary will limit the benefits of career employees. Lump sum payments are not considered in the calculation of benefits. Please explain how it may be possible to set a maximum salary for each grade without hurting the career employee?

Recommendation 24 fails to compensate those who start a job and a year later the beginning salary is adjusted. Same for midrange employees. I have worked for 20 years over mid salary and salary adjusted and I am underpaid considering the years I have worked.

Patton tried to limit career employee's salary by giving lump sum payments to their salaries when they max out in their grade.

Lump sum payments to employees with seniority who have reached the maximum pay levels for their classification will penalize that individual. Lump sums will be taxed higher and lump sums will affect retirement benefits negatively. Pay raises should be equitable for all employees within the pay grade.

Why don't you put limits to the number of working years rather than putting a max on pay grades?

I am a career state employee with 22 years of service. I have purchased 5 years of service time (at a cost of \$50,000). When I purchased the time to retire early I had an understanding that I would receive annual increments of 5%. Of course that has not happened. Therefore, my early retirement plans have been put on hold. In addition, because of the age plus years requirement (75) I had planned on retiring under the high 5. Now I may have to work until 2008 to meet the age requirement. I make \$71,000 with fringes benefits around \$100,000. The cost to the state is \$300,000. Waive the age factor and \$300,000.

How can setting a cap on salaries do anything to help the merit system? It appears that this can only hurt career employees. It would also encourage younger employees to leave state government.

Past Cabinet Level Leaders have discouraged or not approved Agency A.C.E. awards, citing budget conservation as a reason. During periods of budget concerns, i.e., the past 3 years, how or what alternatives do employees have for receiving recognition or rewards for doing an outstanding job? What incentives are there under these circumstances for merit employees to continue to do an outstanding job? I have a four year degree related to my job classification and nine years with state government in that classification. There are employees with the same degree but less experience that earn a higher salary. There are also employees with no degree and same experience with a higher salary. Will Recommendation 24 fix the system that allows this type of pay inequality? Also, can employees expect pay inequality issues to be addressed?

How will long-term employees not be "hurt" by a salary cap? What provisions are being considered for highly skilled technical employees who are already making less then what people make in private industries? A salary cap will cost us even more.

Why would you give "lump sums" knowing that it will not apply to retirement?

Why set a maximum pay for a job class? This will only discourage people from becoming a state employee. We already have too many contractors because we can't get good people to become employed by the state and this is just one more reason to not become a state employee.

You mentioned raising the starting pay on different grades is changed every years. New hires pass up people that have been there a while because our salaries don't get upgraded. This is bad for morale. Also Chief District Engineers have far too much say in our district. This needs to be monitored.

Can the merit employee vote for the maximum salaries?

If there is a salary cap how is the cost of living going to be established? Salaries are already being eaten up by higher prices.

Are you going to lower salaries that are already above the "maximum"?

When raising salaries for low-wage/low-grade employees, longer term employees get no compensation; many times, people with 10 plus years of service see new comers making nearly the same salary. How can this be made more equitable?

What about PCWCP graduates who currently start out at a higher pay grade and employees who have been there for many years? Should you not be allowed to increase in salary for the time put into the agency? What about Suspension hours for those going for an LCSW?

Elaborate on how recommendation 24 will affect employees.

Is there currently a max on salary?

On average, how many years must be worked before an employee can reach the midpoint for that pay grade?

If I were a new employee, with the generally low incoming salaries and knew my salary if I stayed with State Government would at some point be capped, I would use the state as a work experience and training ground. The state can and will become a training ground for the private sector. The state would constantly be training new employees. If there is anybody experienced enough to train them properly. This makes what already looks ineffective become ineffective. The private sector would look a lot better when my salary increases stop. This is not a prop sports team with runaway salaries.

There seems to be a breaking of faith with employees. For example, we were encouraged to accept lower starting salaries with an expectation that over the course of a career we could expect to become more competitive with the private sector. I took a pay cut coming to state government. Now that I come closer to the end of my career, state government seems to be reneging on the competitive equity. The grandfathering you mention would help, but its not in writing.

If you put a pay cap this will hurt our ability to obtain new employees. I thought it is the American way to make as much as you can with no limits.

I'd say no to recommendation 24. These ideas are vague and could cause more trouble(s) than they do good specifically "based on performance.

There was no mention of additional promotion grades with the addition of maximum salaries. Would it be considered with the "new classifications"? The Secretary mentioned it, but it was not in the handout.

Don't recommend min/max salary. No. There are series that only have (3) steps. For example and after a 10 or 12 years cannot advance or be promoted.

Recommendation 24 is not good. Raises should be across the board.

Recommendation 24 is NOT good. Raises should be across the board. (REPEAT COMMENT)

We strongly oppose recommendation 24.

Salary caps should NOT be placed on a person at ANYTIME.

Take care of senior employees.

Recommendation 24 is penalizing employees.

Recommendation 24 is harmful to long time employees.

Recommendation 24 should not pass in any form.

## TAB R

**Recommendation 25** 

**Employee Evaluation** 

#### **Recommendation 25: Employee Evaluation**

If you have a supervisor who doesn't like you, how would you go about getting a raise?

Specifically define excellent performance for an employee promotion system?

You imply that there are 'too' many excellent ratings, how is a person supposed to get the promotions with out the good ratings?

This is already done so what's the real intent.

Use of the evaluation process to determine compensation.

How will the process be monitored for fair and unbiased evaluations by a supervisor?

Evaluations are a joke now and do not allow us as managers to help the employee improve their skills or time management and attendance. Evaluations need to be completely changed. Will the task force change the evaluation or has this been discussed?

Even with training, this is not easily accomplished. Supervisors are human and the process will vary across agencies, offices and divisions.

What more should be done with the evaluation system? It already has gone through legislation. Stop beating a dead horse.

This already happens. If we have to train management to evaluate again, will employees get their 2 days off again?

In regards to Recommendation 25, I believe employees also need to be trained on the evaluation process so we know what is expected of us before the annual review. So we have a chance to do our best all year long.

Currently, in the state government supervisors are given training on personnel actions, how to document employees, job positions, etc. I suggest that merit employees be allowed to have training so they understand personnel actions as well as have the ability to know what/how to document events within their office when they feel they have been treated unfairly.

Supervisors are not trained on discrimination in evaluations. The excuse this year is "we have to cut back on our exceeds" so someone is not going to get what they got last time however their performance has not changed.

Employees should have an opportunity to evaluate supervisor and management level employees and reflect on evaluations.

The 40 hour work week will limit overtime payments to merit employees, while affecting existing childcare arrangement. What benefits will be gained?

Agree with a 40 hour work week. I think flex time is a big waste.

How does the 40 hour work week affect potential retirees?

If the 40 hour work week is implemented and state employees were given those raises, would that have an impact on all annual salary increments?

If we got to a 40 hour work week, how can we make up our snow days?

I am curious as to how the 40 hour work week can already been implemented when it's just a recommendation at this point.

How can you be hired for a 37 ½ hour work week and then it just be changes to 40 hours?

Why do we have a 37.5 hour work week?

What if you chose to take the 40 hour work week and then chose later to go back to 37.5, could you do that, or would the 40 hour be permanent?

Who makes the decision on an agency going to a 40 hour work week?

Concerning the proposed 40 hr work week, does the term "optional" apply to agencies or individuals?

It seems unfair that two cabinets within state government are taking advantage of a 40 work week. When will the rest of state government employees be given the option of the 40 hour work week?

I have heard that some cabinets are already doing the 40 hour work week. Is this true?

I want the 40 hour week because I see no other way to receive a 6.7% pay increase. I am puzzled as to why some cabinets have instituted the 40 hour and EPPC has not. How can EPPC be encouraged to implement the 40 hour week?

Why not provide a 5% pay increase as mandated rather than pay only certain cabinets for a 40 hour work week?

Is the 40 hour work week a legislative decision or agency decision?

Is the 40 hour work week optional based on the appointing authority's decision, or does the employee have the option to continue working the 37.5 work week instead?

I have read and heard that the Revenue Cabinet will go to a 40 week with a 6.7% pay increase from unused funds allocated for unfilled positions in the cabinet. If implemented only in the Revenue Cabinet does this violate the equal protection clause of the 14th amendment?

What factors are considered by agencies to decide whether to go to 40hr work week? Are there standard considerations or factors involved?

Why are some people adopting a 40 hour work week while this task force is making it optional? Will the option be left up to the employee?

How could finance already go to the 40 hour work week? What about the legislature's decision?

I support the 40 hour work week- if there is a noticeable pay increase.

What does it mean to "Grandfather-out" employees currently close to retirement? Is that intended to avoid the 6.7% increase in pay?

If the 40 hour work week is implemented with the 6-7% pay increase, will the annual increment still apply in addition to?

Will overtime be discouraged or not allowed?

How would situations requiring additional work hours (in excess of 40 hours per week) be addressed? Situations that now are addressed by earning c-time? For example: Attending a public meeting at night which requires travel to/from. Additional hours required during legislative session, etc.

What are the provisions for overtime for the 40 hour work week? A 6.6% raise will not compensate for unpaid overtime.

How will the extra cost of a 40 hour work week be handled?

How can a 40 hour work week benefit the state when the state has budget issues now?

Has a cost analysis been done to see what the financial impact would be to the state to go to a 40 hour week? If not, will one be done?

We are compensated for the additional 2.5 hours per week. Is this independent of an incremental increase? Otherwise, would we still receive our incremental increase at our scheduled time?

How can there be extra money for extra hours when there is none for a 5% increase yearly?

Will the 40 hour work week salary increase affect incremental raises per year?

How can a 40 hour work week benefit the state when the state has budget issues now? 40 hours will require a 6.67% raise to employees as well as 2.5 hours more overhead cost each week.

40 hour work week: What about over-time? Will it be discouraged or not allowed? What about the extra cost?

Will an employees' current accumulated sick leave be increased by 6 percent?

If you go to a 40 hr week, will the employee's current accumulated sick leave be increased by 6%?

Would employees' accrued time be increased to reflect the increased work week? I.e., if someone has 7.5 hours accrued now, after the 40 hour work week starts, that employee no longer has 1 full day of leave.

Will the 40 hour work week be optional even if the cabinet you work for goes with a 40 hour work week?

Why is the 40 hour work week not statewide? What does optional mean?

How would flex time be affected on 40 hour work week? (If allowed, seems like it would defeat the purpose).

If 40 hour week is implemented, will flex schedule still be available or will everyone be encouraged to work 8-5? During Finance implementation many employees who previously approved for flex time were mandated to work 8-5.

On the 40 hour work week is flex time going to be reinstated? The field auditors work better with flex time as different businesses operate at different times. It is a benefit that helps the tax payer as well as the auditors.

I support the 40 hour week. Can you elaborate on EPPC's opinion on that?

Recommendation 26 should be added to daily work time instead of taking away from lunch break.

Should be added to daily work time instead of taking away from lunch break. (REPEAT COMMENT)

40 hour and 6.67% is not a pay increase! It is only compensating for the additional work time!

Doubt compensation would be enough for 40 hours.

Need more detail on the impact on retirement and other benefits.

I am NOT in favor of the 40 hour work week.

If we move to a 40 hour work week shouldn't you be able to retire earlier?

If I have 20 years of service with the state and my agency goes to a 40 hour work week will I still have 20 full years credited to me?

How will you avoid cheating us?

My wife and I are both state employees and we commute from Lexington. Our schedule with our daycare for our 2-year old is very tight as it is. It would be nearly impossible for us to add another 30 minutes to our workday without some kind of accommodation or changing our daycare arrangements to the detriment of our child. Please keep this in mind.

## TAB T

**Recommendation 27** 

**Merit Employees running for office** 

#### Recommendation 27: Merit Employees running for office

Why can a merit employee not run for office?

Can a state employee run a city/county campaign in another state?

Why not allow public employees to run for all elective offices without losing their job – not just non-partisan?

Is there any consideration of enacting the "Political Freedom Act" to older merit employees to take part openly in campaigns? Many of us would like to assist friends and neighbors in the next year's local elections.

### TAB U

Makeup and Process of Task Force Questions/Comments

#### **Makeup and Process of Task Force Questions/Comments**

What other recommendations are being discussed that are not on this list?

Is the direction of this task force under any influence from the Governor? Or the Governor's AGENDA?

Who will be involved in enacting these changes if recommendations are approved?

Will sub-committee recommendations be part of the main recommendations or are they part of policy changes?

How many merit employees are on the task force?

Were there state employees on the task force? If not why not? If so, how many state employees was it one for each cabinet.

Task force member's information including names, addresses, and phone numbers should be provided to all state employees and statewide.

Why were there no rank and/or file merit employees included on the task force?

Are there any merit employees on the task force – if not, why?

This task force should contain merit employees.

How will it be decided who will be on the next merit system task force?

If the panel was created to address the merit system based on allegations of abuse by elected officials and appointed persons, then why are the resulting recommendations punitive to merit employees?

Why are there only two merit employees on the task force, when merit employees are the ones being affected most by the recommendations?

Why is Karen Neeley on the blue ribbon task force when she is the final person who approves applicants to get on the register? Isn't that a conflict of interest?

Who picked the task force members?

If this task force was created to improve the merit system, why did Greg Stumbo quit, citing the reasons he did?

The task force was formed because some governor appointees could not understand parts of the merit system. What specific misunderstandings do these recommendations clear up?

#### **Makeup and Process of Task Force Questions/Comments**

From looking at the task force's recommendations it doesn't appear that much is wrong with the current system. Many of the recommendations are vague and do not have in depth directions. Is this a smoke screen to cover up this administrations failure to abide by the current rules?

Need a subcommittee under the task force of state employees looking into pay/equity, benefits, etc. Ask for volunteers and screen to get all employees covered. Freedom of Speech!

Can employees still submit information about issues or concerns that could or should be considered? If so, how? Can it be done anonymously?

Will state employees have the opportunity to review the proposed amendments, as they will be written, before they are submitted?

Why do you not have any regular merit employees on the task force? (meaning grades 6-15, that would give you a better understanding of what real merit employees think is important.

Does the governor need to approve these recommendations? If he chooses not to approve the recommendations what happens to them?

If the 'input' of state employees is so highly regarded, why were these meetings not held at the beginning of the task force instead of now when the vote is ready to be taken?

Will you be e-mailing a list of the questions and answers to all state employees?

Will guestions, answers and, recommendations be posted?

Can you put the forum questions on the internet so that others can view the questions and responses? Sort of like a FAQ list.

Why is the Employee Input Session handout so very different from the proposed future hiring processes listed on the Personnel web site?

When is the earliest date these recommendations (or variations of them) could be implemented? Would they all be implemented at the same time?

Discuss timelines for implementing some of these recommendations.

It appears that the taskforce has recommended many studies be undertaken. If the studies proceed at the normal rate of most state government studies it could be 10 years or longer before we have the results! Will the taskforce put a time limit on when the studies must be completed and acted upon?

Can you please define or revise the definition of merit employee?

Are the opinions of state employees really going to make a difference?

Are the opinions of state employees really going to make a difference? (REPEAT QUESTION)

What weight will my opinion and those of other state employees carry as far as the recommendations?

Does my opinion count? What weight does my opinion and those of other state employees carry as far as these recommendations.

Why is it possible to obtain additional education, training and certification, but not receive additional compensation?

Provide additional incentives for candidates with advanced educational degrees. Reward employees for obtaining advanced degrees.

Will education achievement awards be affected? How will they be decided?

Why can't you take all of the questions and place them on the web site and give detailed answers?

Why is it possible to obtain additional education, training, and certification (i.e. CPA) but not receive additional compensation, and not work two jobs?

Will there be a cap on the number of non-merit positions for each cabinet?

What good is a law if it can be ignored or "set aside" anytime it is inconvenient? i.e., Annual Increments and Political Hiring.

What is the status of the CPM program?

Will employees in the certified management program be allowed to complete this program since current administration has stopped it?

Can you please give the 5% raise to all employees who graduate from the CPM program? Don't allow this to be an agency decision. I graduated in June 2005, all other graduates received the 5%, the ones in Health & Family Services did not. I feel this is unfair, because we completed the same classes and program for 4 years. Please check on this.

What is the process for changing KAR's and KRS'?

Who makes up the Personnel Cabinet and the Personnel Board?

How is the membership of the Personnel Board determined?

For those recommendations that will require legislative action, when do you anticipate proposed changes being addressed and becoming effective?

Why don't all state agencies have the same policies?

What specific items will make existing long time merit employees feel good about working for the state?

Please leave the Merit System as is.

What we have is fine system, it just needs fine tuning.

The Merit System is ok, I recommend leaving it alone.

Leave the merit system alone. It ain't broke! You can't fix what you admittedly don't understand.

Most of my co-workers, were afraid to show up because of possible reprisals.

Why not make it a true merit system.

Why fix something if it's not broken?

Leave it alone.

What is wrong with the current merit system? Is it not working?

Leave the merit system alone, it has worked for thirty some years.

Merit system is ok. Recommend leave it alone.

If the merit system has worked well for 45 years, why is there only been a problem in the last 6 months to 1 year. The merit system and retirement is the only things the state has to encourage retention.

Please leave the merit system as is.

Please leave the merit system alone. This system would work if everyone would do their job. The jobs they are paid to do. Our boss doesn't do computers and our assistant foreman can't spell. The backup timekeeper who has been there for more than 6 months doesn't even know how to put in time. All these people with promotions should have to take training. Spend some time looking into things.

Seniority counts, education should count – not who you know!

Sec. Roberts, You are doing a good job! Keep up the good work. Keep your head up!

Good Job Mr. Secretary!! Keep up the good job. We appreciate your honesty and work ethics.

Due to the fact that a "Blue Ribbon Panel" was appointed by the Governor and that panel has met several times and made their own conclusions and were ready to vote on their conclusions and the news article that said Secretary Roberts stated that id didn't matter the vote could be taken before or after the "State Employee" meeting leads me to two questions. 1. If this panel was really interested in "improving the merit system" then why weren't "state" employees involved in a meeting earlier in the process instead of after the panel has reached its conclusions? 2. Why should we as State employees not consider this "so called meeting to get our input" just a ploy on the part of Governor Fletcher to make us think he cares?

In the wake of the current investigation against this administration it is difficult to see the benefits of any changes proposed by this administration. While several recommendations seem to be good ideas on the surface, a state employee has to ask himself/herself about the benefits to the current administration and would these changes be a detriment to current and future state merit employees. These recommendations proposed by the task force should be postponed until the investigation within this administration is resolved.

When will the cabinet release the results of its analysis of the auditor's study on salary leave abuse?

What percent of non-merit employees are "contract"? Retired and returned earning the big money plus their retirement.

To really hear the employees, if this is to be a "true" open forum, you should allow questions other than card option. "Open" questions and discussion weren't allowed to hear employee questions/ comments. Inadequate set up for questions. For questions, why use cards versus employees using the microphone? Or why not cards and microphone.

Why is it legal to hire a legal alien as a state employee, when they do NOT pay taxes?

How can employees play games on their computers and get away with it? It continues to happen even after management, and upper management was informed. We've been told there's a computer police. Where are they?

Employees are exhausted from the assault by KECC. It goes from June-October. Bake sales, picture contests, hot dog lunches, baskets, ice cream socials, hula hoop contests, etc. Can this campaign be limited to the statutes?

There should be a recommendation that state employees should not be harassed with KECC fundraisers. We should be given an opportunity to give but the 6-month harassment to give to the "selected" charities has gotten out of hand. There is a perception that state employees would not give to charities on their own if they were not "urged" to contribute through KECC. Why should such a large amount of state resources be allotted to select charities?

Secretary Roberts, Will you commit today to provide data (broken down cabinet by cabinet) on the number of merit employees vs. the number of non-merit employees now as compared to those numbers as of November 1, 2003? And could you include average salaries in this comparison?

In my view, the current (Fletcher) administration does not possess the credibility and legitimacy to address merit system reform, in light of recent political and legal events (i.e. indictments, pardons, ongoing investigations). I am highly distrustful of his motives with regard to merit system reform.

Considering all the negative news that has surfaced because of actions of the Fletcher administration, specifically related to the hiring of state employees, I have real reservations regarding the intent of any action related to the merit system. The image of state government was damaged by pardon(s) issued and that affects all state employees and their perception to the public.

These recommendations come after indictments of several Fletcher personnel. Don't you think state employees know that this task force and its recommendations are just a sham to cover for this administration's political blunders?

For an administration charged with the worst violations of the merit system to propose any kind of changes other than making violations a felony is a joke. Any consideration given to adjusting appointments so NO sitting Governor should be able to "stack" the ethics commission or the personnel board. Have some guts do the right thing. You are seriously under estimating the anger of merit employees.

The Personnel Board is currently composed of 7 members. Only 2 are elected active state employees 5 are appointed by the Governor. This looks political even if its not. Could more state employees be elected to the board?

Would you consider posting the name and qualifications of each person hired along with the positions they were hired for? This would make it easier for applicants for this job to determine if a violation may have occurred. Currently you would have to make an open records request.

LRC needs to be held to the same ethics regulations as do ALL of state government employees. There should be the same ethics regulations for all being paid with state funds. That includes same benefits. Determined by public vote, not LRC.

Your Chief of staff wad indicted and fired. Your Deputy Secretary was indicted and fires for things he was doing under your position. As Secretary for the Personnel Cabinet, my question is this, why should merit employees trust you with the watchdog authority in these recommendations?

I came into state government with my degree's (Bachelors and Masters). I've been told I can NOT take any additional courses offered by state trainees. Ex. My civilian payroll experience does not help me get jobs in state payroll. They want me to have state computer training but I am denied ability to take the course.

Are all of these punitive measures actually a message to merit employees that if you complain about hiring practices, you will be punished? Are you concerned about the effect of these measures on morale or your administration's anti-employee image? None of these measures will be attractive to career civil servants. Are you concerned that you will no longer be able to retain competent, effective staff, or do you simply not care about employees who are anything other than governor appointees?

Why do we have non-merit employees? Why can't we all be the same? It's obvious that non-merit employees get paid MORE MONEY!! Why?? Please answer these questions!! All of them!!!

Has there been any discussion/considerations given to restricting/eliminating the non-merit resignations & reappointments w/ no break in service when they receive a substantial increase in pay? This is not an option at all, nor good for merit employee moral. Merit employees are not afforded this luxury.

Communication is the key to success; Transportation Cabinet – Information is Power; Too many secrets by management. Employee's welfare not considered.

The state needs to hire a recruiter for state jobs to go to the schools, colleges, vocational tech schools; there are a lot of qualified people, but when state jobs come open, very few people are aware of them, or the proper way of applying. You need to hire me just for recruiting!

If Personnel could review all non-classified positions, the Personnel Cabinet would find that a lot of the lower grade employees are performing "classified" duties, but not receiving the proper pay for the duties performed. This includes certified, experienced, and qualified underpaid laborers, and workers. Example: laborer performing carpentry, HVAC repair, or specialized mechanic work, but only receiving laborer pay.

Whom or what agency will enforce the merit system?

What exactly does the Fletcher	· Administration	have in	mind when	"revamping"	the merit
system?					

# TAB W

**Employee Recommendations** 

#### **Employee Recommendations**

Grandfather current employees from maximum salaries.

Require Locality Pay

Allow Overtime pay for hours worked over 8 hours in a given day. I.E. highway workers called in to clear roads work 12 hour shifts then forced to take a day off later in the week to eliminate OT for that week.

Create a uniformed orientation program.

Require Shift Pay.

Convert leave balances to week for week then convert back to hours for purposes of implementation of 40 hour work week.

Continue CPM Program.

Create specific legislation requiring Personnel Board final order which includes language advising the aggrieved party of their rights.

Experience gained outside of state government should be weighed equally with experience gained inside of state government.

Create a true incentive for "exceeding" evaluations by rewarding an entire week instead of just 2 days.

Job Classifications where you have a II and a III with the III having greater duties and responsibilities should have different pay rates.

Do not hire contractors in state offices and allow those contractors to serve in a supervisory capacity of the state employees.

Agencies are currently using several different timesheets and regulations regarding leave usage are interpreted differently. Those regulations need to be clearly defined. Will these issues be addressed? Consistency is needed statewide when employees transfer to different agencies they may or may not be allowed to use time like in their prior job. Leave may have been used incorrectly or even illegally.

Give hazardous duty pay to mental health care workers.

Give hazardous duty pay for state mine inspectors.

Give hazardous duty pay and benefits for all employees in the Transportation Cabinet that are in the field.

#### **Employee Recommendations**

Give hazardous duty pay and benefits for all employees in the Transportation Department that are in the field. (REPEAT COMMENT)

Give hazardous duty pay for all bridge inspectors, engineers across the state not Bridge Crew Members- but for the inspectors who are on the highway, pedway, and work over water and climb or rappel.

Why isn't there a recommendation to pay more for those with full four year degrees and master's degrees?

Please create a training program for supervisors and managers.

Before any recommendations are sent to the legislature or passed a merit employee board should be developed to review the proposed recommendations. I really appreciate the opportunity to be involved with Secretary Roberts on these important issues.

Due to rising gas costs and movement for energy efficiency, make it an option for a 4 day work week, provided that coverage is maintained for the office over the whole business week and that 37 ½ or 40 hours are maintained. Since my office consist of field inspectors that cover a 10 county area, this will save significantly in gas and state tax dollars.

Do state employees currently have the option of a 4 day work week of ten hour days? With the price of gas and high number of commuters, this may improve employee morale. I drive from Richmond and others in my office drive from Berea, Winchester, Maysville, etc.

Is there any discussion within the task force going on about the hiring of contract workers over state employees? (In state agencies). Differences in salary? i.e. contract workers are paid more than state employees.

Can we open the Frankfort testing center during the evening or on Saturday (monthly) to help those who aren't able to test due to work conflicts?

Why don't you propose to bring back President's Day for state employees as a holiday? We had it and it was taken away.

Although registers are public, the new hires that result from the postings are not made public. Once the position has been filled and the employee is in place, could there be an electronic site, for ALL agencies to post the names and positions filled?

# TAB X INSURANCE QUESTIONS/COMMENTS

#### **Insurance Questions/Comments**

I would like to know if sometime in the near future if the Health Care benefits would include vision and dental. Vision is required to do your work properly so therefore you should be covered.

If insurance benefits are considered as part of the entire compensation package, how do you justify the discrepancy in insurance compensator?

There are a lot of recommendations concerning affirmative action. In a study done about the state of female merit employees, one recommendation concerned extending health insurance to same-sex partners. Will this be recommended?

We need access to vision and dental insurance. A payroll deducted group policy should be made available.

# TAB Y

**Retirement Questions/Comments** 

#### **Retirement Questions/Comments**

Will there be a retirement window after 2008?

Will there be a retirement window after 2008? (REPEAT QUESTION)

Does the Governor support the re-hiring of retired state employees?

Does the Administration support or oppose the re-hiring of retirees in the same agency as a valid strategy for addressing the loss of experienced personnel by 2009?

Employees reaching 27 years should be made to retire.

Is the high 3 for retirement going to be extended until 2011?

## **EMPLOYEE INPUT MEETINGS**

**Meeting Notes** 

## **INDEX**

Somerset	<u>Tab A</u>
Henderson	<u>Tab B</u>
Lexington (Session 1)	<u>Tab C</u>
Lexington (Session 2)	<u>Tab D</u>
Bowling Green	<u>Tab E</u>
Covington	<u>Tab F</u>
Prestonsburg	<u>Tab G</u>
Hazard	<u>Tab H</u>
KY Dam Village	<u>Tab I</u>
Louisville	Tab J

# $\underline{TAB A}$

# **SOMERSET**

**Attendance – approximately 167** 

Secretary Roberts welcomed and thanked everyone for attending and introduced staff and gave a brief overview of the Task Force. Secretary Roberts then went over the proposed recommendations which have shown the most interest.

Recommendation 1: 3 recommendations on the table, felony, civil, administrative resolution of violations of merit system. Secretary Roberts stated that he would like a process which would take the victim into consideration and have a method for making the victim whole (i.e. reinstatement, promotion, etc.)

Recommendation 2: Updating Human Resources Information System. The infrastructure for personnel. Internal mobility will be the way it is, just like it is now, after the new system is put in placed. Creates a promotional ladder.

Recommendation 14: Employee Relations subcommittee charged with looking at this. Creates two tracks for grievance process. First track would be employee would file a grievance, if grievance was not satisfactory then the employee would go to a peer review, if peer review was not successful then employee could file with Personnel Board. Second track would be employee would request mediation, if mediation was unsuccessful then to peer review, if peer review was unsuccessful then to Personnel Board.

Recommendation 17: Drug Testing – Three types. 1st type would be post-offer / pre-employment. This would apply to all new employees. 2<sup>nd</sup> type would be Reasonable Suspicion test. An employee under the influence of drugs or alcohol in the workplace would be subject. 3<sup>rd</sup> type would be Random Testing of employees who are in charge of another, or CDL, i.e. nurses, drivers, KSP, etc.

Recommendation 18: Additional week of military leave. This would be more in line with the Federal Civil Service Military Leave Policy.

Recommendations 20, 21, & 22 – Affirmative Action, Equal Employment Opportunity, protected classes. Disparity Study to be done to see if persons in protected classes are affected adversely.

Recommendation 23 – Reduction in classifications. Recommendation put forth in 1993 & 1996.

Recommendation 24 – Put forth years ago and was not implemented years ago. This says we should maintain the annual increment. Pay for performance. Use of ERA & ACE. Maximum on salary range. Salary and pay inequality.

Recommendation 26-40 hour work week. Option to the Agency. There is a fiscal impact 6.7% increase in pay for employees working the 40 hour work week.

Secretary Roberts then opened the floor for questions:

- Q: Area Tech Center 18A and 151B does this regulation seems to be for 18A employees only.
- A: This task force is for 18A merit system employees only. This does not apply to anybody other than 18A employees
- Q: Does my opinion count: what weight does my opinion carry.
- A: It definitely counts. These are just recommendations. Merit System reform is a long haul there are a lot of other states that have done this and are currently under going this. Your opinion does count. We heard from a lot of employees on Frankfort on Thursday and their opinion as your does count very much. We will make a record and it will be provided to the task force. The things that are discussed will be considered by the task force and it will also be given to the governor. I can tell you that when it comes time to talk to the governor I will articulate to him what your opinion and feelings are on the different recommendations.
- Q: How can a 40 hour work week benefit the state when the state has a budget problem now?
- A: Several benefits for those who want to do it. Several vacancies are left unfilled. Do away with the vacancies. For other agencies it is a method for retention, it helps keeps employees leaving to get more pay in the private sector. It also comes down to the efficiencies as well. The Finance Cabinet was able to save money because they did not fill 100 (+-) positions. In some instances it is a good thing for the budget.
- Q: What about overtime will it be discouraged or not allowed.
- A: (MH) It is up to the supervisors to work the employees to get the work done, pursuant to Federal Law. (ER) the non-merit folks at the Finance Cabinet took on the additional 2.5 hours of pay without additional compensation. 38 other states have gone to the 40 hour work week.
- Q: Who will be completely these tests, who will have access, what type of test?
- A: This is a preliminary recommendation. As of now, all discussion has been urine test. It will go to a federally registered lab, many labs across the commonwealth. These tests are currently being done on employees having CDL licenses.
- Q: If the class specifications are reduced, they should look at tax auditors who are not on the same pay schedule as other auditors across the state.
- A: There is currently not a lot of uniformity; I agree part of the classification reduction piece is to add uniformity to make sure that everyone is treated fairly and using the same job families.

- Q: Comments regarding recommendations 24 & 26. Rec. 24 is not good should be across the board. Rec. 26 should be added to daily work time instead of taking away from the lunch break.
- A: The annual increment will be given across the board. That will be the recommendation of the task force whether it is given will be through the legislature. The added hours will be at the end or the beginning of the day.
- Q: Will there be a retirement at the end of 2008.
- A: I know there is a lot of discussion about retirements in 2008/2009. That is something that Personnel Cabinet doesn't have a lot to do with. I'm actually on the retirement board but that not something that this task force is looking at right now.
- Q: Comment what hazardous duty pay in Transportation Dept.
- A: We will make a note of that. If you are comfortable, whoever submitted that if you will contact us, we can talk about that a little bit more.
- Q: The promotion of internal mobility employees what provisions will be in placed for all employees to compete for promotions.
- A: Internal Mobility will stay the way it is. The only thing that will be a little different is the promotional track. Most people from what we heard when there is a vacancy. They go through the motions but already have someone in house they would like to do the job.
- Q: Who decides when there is a position is open and when it will be filled.
- A: Whoever is making the hiring decision for that entity. With the new HRIS system, when a vacancy is opened, the agency notifies us and it posts for 10 days, with the new system it will be available to everyone online.
- Q: How do you propose to have a career path if you are going to collapse classifications?
- A: Job Families, similar jobs with similar responsibilities. You try to work with the foundation.
- Q: PUT EXAMPLES ON WEBSITE
- Q: Would all annual increments be based on performance?
- A: There is some discussion to pay on performance, but this recommendation is not for that. There is discussion to have additional pay increase for performance.
- Q: If being grandfathered in doesn't work what will happen to current staff?
- A: This is for new employees.
- Q: Comment the merit system is all right we recommend leaving it alone.
- A: Kentucky isn't alone on this one; many states are trying system reform.

- Q: I think recommendation 17 is excellent idea, but I believe all employees should be tested at least 1 a year.
- Q: If we go 40 hour week will leave be adjusted?
- A: Yes
- Q: On recommendation 24 would there be a cost of living increase?
- A: No discussion about cost of living.
- Q: If someone can be demoted, you lose job security, if your job or position is eliminated, can they be demoted?
- A: No that won't happen, we'll have to make what we have fit the new classifications.
- Q: If you are maxed out, you give merit raises; will you get a percentage or lump sum?
- A: Lump Sum
- Q: If you're maxed out at 40,000 for your pay grade?
- A: I think what is envisioned is that you're get a lump sum, there wouldn't be an increase to your annual increment
- Q: Who decides or evaluates for ACE/ERA awards?
- A: The appointing authority. There are regulations which govern those issues, but it is up to the appointing authority.
- Q: Who will be able to request someone to be drug tested? I feel any employee should be able to ask for a test.
- A: We aren't going to reinvent the wheel. There are drug testing programs out there with procedures we would operate under.
- Q: If you get a 2000 lump sum, is that all you're ever get?
- A: It would be on an annual basis. Every year we do market surveys to see if we are still in line with the market, which would be even more important if maximums were placed. Those maximums would adjust to keep up with the market survey. Those reviews would be done on an annual basis.
- Q: But your annual studies don't mean legislatures have to follow.
- A: I agree
- Q: At a mental health facility, we have 82% of our work injuries are due to client related injuries to staff. Is there a possibility to look at hazardous duty pay?
- A: We will have to take a good hard look at it.
- Q: Another request for hazardous pay for mental health workers.

- Q: Favor making violations felonies.
- A: I'm not here supporting one or the other. Currently the task force is split on that issue. We'll have to wait for the vote to see what happens. I'm afraid that if you make it a felony you might take away from crimes that are true felonies. The person who does the discrimination should be dealt with very harshly. I would like to see more focus on the victim. Do we have provisions to get that person the job back; we need to do what it takes to make the employee whole. Whatever we do it needs to be defined and clear.
- Q: Who chooses the group of peers on recommendation 14?
- A: Elect peers of your own, whether it is in your agency or region. ER the other component is when there is a conflict we want to have the quickest resolution that we can. The personnel board takes 6 months to get something resolved. If we can get these things worked out everyone can move on quicker.
- Q: So we will select those peers within our own office/region?
- A: It will more likely be people who are not in your office, but not closely related.
- Q: Is mediation process is it final and binding?
- A: Mediators come to your workplace; you sign a resolution if you agree to a resolution.
- Q: Will it set a precedent across state government.
- A: It is confidential, mediation
- Q: The task for is making recommendations how long will it take to put in place?
- A: Some things are legislative and it would depend on the legislature. Others are executive functions which could happen quicker; others are administrative and could happen even quicker.

Secretary Roberts then encouraged everyone to look at the Personnel website which is dedicated to the Blue Ribbon Task Force for the Merit System; he asked that the employees gather all the information they can. There is also an e-mail to send us your comments, questions.

## TAB B

# **HENDERSON**

**Attendance – approximately 44** 

Secretary Roberts welcomed and thanked everyone for attending and introduced staff and gave a brief overview of the Task Force. Secretary Roberts then went over the proposed recommendations which have shown the most interest.

Recommendation 1: Political Discrimination. – looking at 3 options. Keeping like it is – Misdemeanor, making a felony, making administrative/civil. – Emphasize making clear definitions.

Recommendation 2: Using technology to implement new Human Resource Information System. I.e. test, update applications, being placed on a register. Internal Mobility will still operate as it does now, the only thing that will be different with the changes being proposed is that it will create promotional ladders, being able to be promoted through their jobs.

Recommendation 14: Grievance procedures, dispute resolution procedures. Two tracks for employee complaints – 1<sup>st</sup> track is grievance process, unsure of effectiveness of this process then peer review committee. If grievance is not resolved then move to peer review committee then to personnel board. 2<sup>nd</sup> track is mediation track. Currently the state has a volunteer mediation process. This would make mediation an official resolution. Mediation has a high success rate for complaint resolution. Agency would be require to mediate the issue with the employee, then to the peer review and then to personnel board. The idea behind this rec. is that personnel board. Personnel Board is doing a great job, but they are working understaffed, currently takes up to 6 months to resolve case.

Recommendation 17: Drug testing. Post offer, pre-employment drug testing involves new employees. Reasonable suspicion involves currently the option is to contact law enforcement. If a coworker reports to a supervisor, that will be looked at and if it is found that there is reasonable suspicion, then the person would be tested. The last piece is a deterrent piece, where someone might be thinking about using in the workplace, would be random testing. Seems to from these meetings that no one wants to work with someone using drugs. Random testing will be very limited to those caring for others, or drives, i.e. nurses, cops, CDL licenses.

Recommendation 18: Gives addition week of military leave. This is in line with the Federal Civil Service policy.

Recommendations 20, 21, & 22: EEO and Affirmative Action. Do a study to determine if any person in protected groups is being mistreated by the system.

Recommendation 23: Reduction in number of classifications in merit system. This rec. came out of previous recommendations in 1993 & 1996. Trying to simplify the system. With respect to job classifications, when you have issues with the job classifications, the classifications are the building blocks of the system. Needs uniformity within the classifications, to clean them up and clarify them.

Recommendation 24: Maintain annual increment. Maximum salaries. Without maximum salaries you have pay inequality.

Recommendation 26: 40 hour work weeks. This is currently being done on an agency by agency basis. Finance Cabinet took a survey and found that 75% of their folks wanted to go to a 40 hour work week.

Secretary Roberts then opened the floor for questions.

- Q: How does the 40 hour work week work with the adverse weather policy to make up the time?
- A: You will still be able to make up the time.
- Q: What is the adverse weather leave?
- A: Procedure if you can't get to work, you don't have to go, but you will have to make up the time within a period of time.
- Q: If you move to a 40 hour work week how will the leave be calculated, also how will those approaching retirement work?
- A: Leave balance will transfer over at its current balance, but new accumulated leave will be calculated at the new rate. The best example with that was in Finance there were some people who this would affect adversely, so the agency grandfathered those people nearly retirement opt out. Some of the positives, 30 other states have gone to 40 hour work week.
- Q: Suggestion Good system, just not executed correctly.
- Q: How do you stop promotion of "favorites"?
- A: They're doing it now. Two discussions for handling this problem, is taking the hiring out of state government and contracting it out to an outside vendor. 2<sup>nd</sup> is making sure that the most qualified person is put into the position. You ought to have something in there about seniority.
- Q: If we go to a 40 hour work week, is it to be statewide or office to office or statewide to make up the extra half hour each day?
- A: It will be up to the appointing authority to decide where the extra half hour will be.
- Q: On job classifications, in our cabinet we had 4 classifications for workers based on knowledge and experience. Is the classification system going to add back in money or is it just going to delete those classifications?
- A: If you take on additional duties in a job in order to get more money, those folks come to personnel cabinet to get a new classification with higher pay. The new system will allow you to take on additional duties without having to be reclassed. Exam: you don't have supervisory responsibilities, the promotion will give you

- supervisor responsibilities, and you will be able to do that with out having to be reclassed.
- Q: So classifications will be gone and those people merged into others:
- A: Those classifications will be collapsed and merged into "job families". We may have a classification now and the only difference between that is one line. The people who are moved out of one and into another will be taken care of. This is a long term project, if they even move forward at all. You have to do it in a way and know how it affects people.
- Q: If I have an Insp. II and want to reclass to Insp. III. If I had a vacancy III slot I could promote him into that slot. In the new system I wouldn't have to have a vacancy in the Insp. III I could just promote him into that.
- A: Yes.
- Q: In the attempt to be more uniformed, have you gotten specific as to how many steps you are going to put into each job classification.
- A: It hasn't gone that far.
- Q: Will the deciding mechanism be through someone's recommendation, or through time & service and good evaluations?
- A: I would think it would work similar to the way it does now.
- Q: Could you cut down on the individual steps in the job classifications if annual increments were given? Just give the 5% and then let people have promotional ability as well.
- A: I agree people are finding a way to get a raise.
- Q: Political activities no compensation other than per diem.
- A: Nonpaying elected offices that they have a hard time filling. You can run for nonpartisan offices.
- Q: When we are called in at midnight 12 hour shift have to use a vacation time, comp time or leave without pay in order to allow adequate coverage.
- Q: They make us work overtime for nonemergency then on Friday make you take off and won't get paid for the overtime.
- A: We'll make a note of this.

# TAB C

LEXINGTON (SESSION 1 – 1:30 p.m.)

**Attendance – approximately 55** 

Secretary Roberts welcomed and thanked everyone for attending and introduced staff and gave a brief overview of the Task Force. Secretary Roberts then went over the proposed recommendations which have shown the most interest. Secretary Roberts also introduced task force member Don Dampier.

Recommendations 1 & 7: Political discrimination issue. 3 options on table. 1<sup>st</sup> is to keep as is – misdemeanor if you commit p disc. 2<sup>nd</sup> felony, 3<sup>rd</sup> take it civil – loss of job and civil fine. Whichever way the task force decides to go we need to make sure it is clear what conduct is not permitted.

Recommendation 2: Human Resource Information System – will allow employees to apply for jobs online, putting self on register, changing counties, updating applications, testing (remote) in proctored environment. Promotional ladders, Internal mobility stays the same, however builds career ladders.

Recommendation 14: Grievance process. Creates 2 tracks for employee grievance. Chain of command, supervisory line, then to peer review committee (group of fellow merit employees to look at and give opinion) then to personnel board. 2<sup>nd</sup> track is mediation track. First through mediation (agency required to attend and try to work out the issue with employee) then to peer review then to personnel board. Also allows for tracking of grievance processes. The personnel board would monitor those cases including mediation and peer review.

Recommendation 17: Drug Testing. 3 types of drug testing. 1 – post offer/preemployment; 2 – reasonable suspicion testing, employee on job in the workplace suspected of be on drugs at the workplace then can test; 3 – Random testing, fairly limited form of testing will be limited to persons in elevated positions of trust, CDL licensees, nurses, cops, etc.

Recommendation 18: Additional week of military leave – makes consistent with federal policy.

Recommendations 20, 21, & 22: EEO – Affirmative Action Plan.

Recommendations 23 – Reduction in Classifications. Classifications are the foundation of the merit system.

Recommendation 24 – Annual increment be maintained. Annual increments are an annual legislative budget item. Maximum salaries. There is discussion this will only apply to newly hired personnel, basically grandfathering current employees out.

Recommendation 26: 40 hour work week. Some agencies already have this. Currently up to the agencies to decide. It has to be tailored to the agency because of the fiscal impact. There is a 6.67% pay increase for the additional hours.

Secretary Roberts then opened the floor for questions.

- Q: Promotional track.
- A: With the new system it will be easier to have job families, if you have an employee who is familiar with the work you are doing and understand it they can be promoted within the office without having to go through the register. We did hear from employee groups at the task force and they say they do this already. This is beneficial because you don't have to go through the bureaucracy to get reclassed and then get a raise.
- Q: Less than 2 months ago we were all supervisors were called to KYTC and told that promotions were no longer there, that people would only be reclassed, going from a 1 to a 2, promotions would no longer be given.
- A: That's the current situation which we are in now. Right now cabinets, and some agencies in the districts within the cabinets not doing the same thing, which leads to unfairness. Someone will have to decide that the cabinet and agencies will have to get on track.
- Q: I this talking about giving 5 and 5
- A: Yes. 5 percent per grade the 5% promotional
- Q: If I have a person in the office that is ready to go to an EIT I to EIT II, abolished the old position, make a new position then take the person pull the register get 3 candidates call 2 interview them and that what we would call a promotion.
- A: This is just a recommendation and not currently in place. What you are doing now is under the transportation cabinet appointing authority. We would urge all agencies to be uniformed.
- Q: I never approved on having to advertise for a job that really is not there, having to pull a register for a job which you want to promote from within. We can keep people here.
- A: That's what we are trying to do, we are trying to create a promotional, career ladder so folks can promote within a series of job families.
- Q: How would you redo the classifications? Right of Way agent I and Right of Way Agent II is the same grade, there is no promotional path. Who will decide, will employees have input?
- A: This is a long term project, quite frankly we are going to have to bring in some folks who know how to do this, and we will get input from folks that it will affect. There is a reason it hasn't been done before, and that's because it isn't easy. We need to get it done and then maintain it. It all comes down to the new system, we want to make sure we put good info in.
- Q: Will every job classification have levels?
- A: I believe that is the way it is done, with steps, it is flexible within a classifications there are several steps.

- Q: Is there anything in this which will eliminate the creation of new classifications after the deleting and the collapsing of classifications?
- A: Yes.
- Q: Why isn't the old classification deleted when a new classification is created, there is no reason to have the old class because the new class encompasses the duties?
- A: The personnel cabinet needs to do a better job at monitoring these matters and be better gatekeepers.
- Q: Further evaluations and use of ACE/ERA awards. If these recommendations are put in place does this give a supervisor an opportunity to bump a person's salary to keep them in state service?
- A: Yes, there will also be market surveys to make sure that folks salaries are bumped up to stay competitive with private sector.
- Q: Are you required to have 1 day of vacation to qualify for an ACE award?
- A: No, it might be an agency's policy.
- Q: If you are a supervisor and you have the discretion to do that there is nothing Personnel Cabinet can do to?
- A: That's right.
- Q: Burrowing Make them take the test and qualify.
- A: They are suppose to do that. There is one in the personnel cabinet that that happened to. The 12 month period helps; it at least demonstrates a commitment to learn the job.
- Q: 40 hour work week. Is there any adjustment to their leave balances?
- A: There are a couple of different things. About ¼ of state employees are already at a 40 hour work week. The benefit of going from 7.5 to 8 is 6.67% pay increase. Most states make you have 2 leave banks. Here you will move or leave state gov't you will be paid out at 8 hours pay (or balance at the 6.67% additional pay). Your balance stays the same however, if you are a retiree, you can be grandfathered out and not go to the 40 hour week. Accrue at 8 hours when you go to the 40 hour work week.

COMMENT - have leave balances converted to weeks with the new system.

- Q: Why do we have a 37.5 hour work week anyway?
- A: A cost saving measure started around 1960 when a lot of universities had less hours worked because of the class scheduled. 38 other states have moved to a 40 hour work week. Historically it was a bi-product of the university system which they copied and a cost savings initiative.
- Q: Market surveys, do a study and you may adjust the pay, then over time it will erode and then time will do again, it seems there is always a cycle.

- A: This is done every year.
- Q: Is the results of the survey going to be implemented, the raise never happens?
- A: Part of the problem is the executive branch doesn't control what you get paid the legislature does.
- Q: Work 2 ½ hour over then time and halve with 40 hour work week will it go straight to time and half?
- A: Yes
- Q: Would like to see CPM program continue, making sure supervisors are trained.
- A: The CPM program is still there it is being evaluated.
- Q: If its still happening can we get back in to finish?
- A: Looking at doing some things with KCTCS to improve. I hear what you are saying, that is where it begins. In these recommendations you see training in training for supervisors in evaluations; you see training in EEO and affirmative action initiatives. Most employees can tell you how much then spend on employees for employee development. I don't think in KY we can run such a report. Agencies what to have the ability to do their own thing, but this prohibits having a uniformed system.
- Q: How many people have completed the fundamentals part and not able to finish?
- A: I'm going to find out.
- Q: Not a lot of employees are concerned about the penalties. I think it is important to me, because that affects my life. I think it should be a very serious violation.
- A: I don't disagree at all. I believe you should have penalties that will work. I don't know if making these things felonies will make this work because lets face it most judges aren't going to send people to prison for a year for merit system violations with jail overcrowding. If you cause someone to lose their job, and put a good fine on there that will hurt them pretty good and have an effect on them. The other part of the piece because the merit system doesn't really address it, what happens to the victim, what does we do for the victim. There needs to be something to help make the victim whole.
- Q: I think we need tougher penalties for someone who fires or demotes a current employee.
- A: I can see that distinction. I think displacing someone could be serious. Whatever is done, if it is left the same, if it is felonized, if it civil, you need to make it clear. You need to have clear language which makes it clear.
- Q: The more levels of non-merit employees the fewer problems we would have. Have you thought about lowering some of these positions?
- A: I think it gets tricky when you get a merit employee in a policy making position.

- Q: What are your thoughts on the implementation of these recommendations? How do you think it is going?
- A: We are in the very early stages of merit system reform, we've come up with good recommendations but they require legislative action, executive action, or administrative action.
- Q: We are looking at another session.
- A: We'll have to see what comes out of this session, executive functions or administrative functions may be able to happen quicker.
- Q: So it's always going to be up the appointing authority
- A: Yes, unless something is done to change that.
- Q: So 40 hour week is up to the agency?
- A: Yes.
- Q: Is there anyway the legislature can be told the employees would like to maintain the annual increments?
- A: Yes, talk to your legislature. I imagine at some point I will be asked to testify before a legislative committee, and I will let them know this is important.

DON DAMPIER comment – Employee organizations put this on their agenda each legislative session. Let your legislature know, send them an e-mail, write them a letter, they do listen.

Comment – they will read every individual letter, more than they will read a group letter.

- Q: Is there a time limit someone can hold an active position.
- A: Will take a look at that, I don't believe there is anything that can be done right now.

Representative Farmer comment - If you want to know more about the task force, please visit the website and you can open everything and have a "task force experience".

Q: In looking at non-merits reverting and/or reentering. Reverting reverts back to the rate of pay you were plus any yearly increments when you left merit and enter non-merit. To reenter you can start at the same rate of pay you currently are at as a non-merit.

# TAB D

LEXINGTON (SESSION 2 – 3:00 p.m.)

**Attendance – approximately 43** 

Secretary Roberts welcomed and thanked everyone for attending and introduced staff and gave a brief overview of the Task Force. Secretary Roberts then went over the proposed recommendations which have shown the most interest. Secretary Roberts also introduced task force member Don Dampier.

Recommendations 1 & 7. Political Discrimination. Three positions from task force, 1<sup>st</sup> keep the same – misdemeanor, 2<sup>nd</sup> felony, 3<sup>rd</sup> civil & fine. Regardless of which option we go with it needs to be clear when political discrimination occurs.

Recommendation 2. New human resource information system. Current system is over 20 years old. New system will make new data easier to extract. The impact on employees is online application, updates, testing, etc. Moving to promotional tracks, career paths. With a promotional track the agency will have the ability to promote without advertising. In a situation where there isn't an internal promoteable individual, would turn to internal mobility as it is now.

#### [Interruption from audience]

- Q: If someone, manager or agency has an employee who wants that person would you say they would still go through the register?
- A: The new process if you have someone who is promoteable you can give the person the promotion.
- Q: That seems unfair to someone outside their agency.
- A: There are two sides of that argument; you definitely make a good point.

Recommendation 14: Grievance process – dispute resolution process – recommends we would have 2 tracks to deal with grievance. 1<sup>st</sup> track is grievance track – chain of command/supervisor, then to peer review then to personnel board. 2<sup>nd</sup> track is mediation track – mediation, then peer review then personnel board. Also, requires tracking of grievance and mediation process.

Recommendation 17: Drug testing. 3 types of drug testing wouldn't apply to judicial, legislative or 151b employees. Applies to 18A employees only. 1<sup>st</sup> applies only to new employees – post offer/pre-employment drug testing, 2<sup>nd</sup> reasonable suspicion applies to all 18A employees applies to employees suspected of being on drugs in the workplace; 3<sup>rd</sup> random testing which would only apply to CDL licensees, and workers such as nurses correctional officers, applies to those employees higher level of sensitivity or care of children, etc.

Recommendation 18: Additional week of military leave to serve.

Recommendations 20, 21 & 22: EEO – Affirmative Action. Requires disparity study of individuals in protected classes to look at whether the merit system has any adverse impact on persons in the protected classes.

Recommendation 23: Reduction of classifications in merit system. This recommendation is a reoccurring recommendation originally rec in 1993 and 1996. This was actually done before in 1996 they were reduced down and now they are back up again. Some have 5 people or less. The classifications are the building blocks and the foundation for the merit system.

Recommendation 24: Maintain the annual increment. The implementation of the maximum on the salary range. Currently we have an entry and a mid-point but no maximum. The Task force is very aware of employees who are longtime employees and those who are close to retirement. There is a possibility of grandfathering current employees out, and start this on new hires only. The market studies which are currently done will be even more important to make sure that the maximum amount keeps up with the private sector.

Recommendation 26: 40 hour work week. Up to the appointing authority if they want to go to a 40 hour work week, and whether or not they can afford it. You have to be able to afford it. It is a 6.67% pay increase. Rationale behind the 40 hour work week, in addition to giving up 100 funded positions to pay the additional salary of the current employees, they also wouldn't have to have the workspace, equipment, etc. Also, retention of employees.

Secretary Roberts then opened the floor for questions.

- Q: Will an agency, a revenue generator, for the government would a generator or spender be an argument for going to a 40 hour week?
- A: The issue for an agency is really if you have the 6.67% in your budget.
- Q: Div of disability Persons leaving a 40 hour work week then going to a 37.5 hour work week would lose money on entering because the reduced hours.
- A: Yes.
- Q: The appointing authority refers to the cabinet itself or the offices within it.
- A: The appointing authority starts with the cabinet secretary but can delegate that function out.
- Q: So it could happen office by office within an agency, and not agency wide?
- A: Yes, example is Corrections have gone to 40 hour work week while other offices in Justice Cabinet have not.
- Q: Would leave balance be adjusted to compensate for the extra half hour?
- A: On pay out you will be paid at the additional 6.67% pay increase when you leave. However, your balances remain unchanged. i.e. 240 hours is 240 hours you do not add. However, you will begin accumulating 8 hours a month once you make the move.
- Q: How would flex time be effected on a 40 hour work week?

- A: I think it would work the same; it would still be up to the appointing authority. The half hour each day would be added to the beginning, ending or at the lunch hour. It would be an agency by agency determination.
- Q: Do you have any knowledge of what agencies have the inclination to go to a 40 hour work week?
- A: The ones who have wanted to go to 40 hours have. We see those agencies that are running 24 hour shifts as being the agencies that are probably going to go to the 40 hour work week. Before Finance moved there was 1/6 of the state work force at a 40 hour work week. 38 states have gone to the 40 hour work week.

DON DAMPIER – comment – Secretary Wilcher is interested in 40 hour work week.

- ER Yes. EPPC is interested in moving to 40 hour work week.
- Q: On Recommendation 23, who has already made a decision to make the changes in the reallocation of these changes? I have been demoted in this change. My supervisor says the reallocation was done by main personnel without any knowledge from them. I was 15 years as a grade 9 and now I'm a grade 8.
- A: I'm familiar with this. That was an ongoing thing that the Personnel Cabinet has been doing. Looking at this now we are going to make some changes on how they are done, how they are reviewed, really the Personnel Cabinet is in transition by the way it is doing business. We are trying to move more to the personal/customer services. In the future, we will be doing a better job at getting input from our customer.
- Q: Currently undertaken a reorg in CHFS, lots of people who were positions who had class titles of Exec. Assist., etc. and in the reorg are now in offices which those positions which aren't there anymore. Is this something that the task force can look at?
- A: We will bring this up to the Task force
- Q: Any discussion to going to a 26 pay period?
- A: There has not been any discussion.
- Q: On Recommendation 2, we have career paths in the Transportation Cabinet. We have now gotten word that we would not be doing promotions. How does this jive in with recommendation 2.
- A: It doesn't. That is an example of the overlying problem that we have with the merit system. There is a lack of uniformity in the system, there are cabinets doing different things, there are agencies and districts within the cabinet doing different things. If this recommendation goes forward than that will have an affect on appointing authorities across state gov't.
- Q: When will it be determined if these recommendations will actually be implemented and will employees have input into that?

- A: It depends on the recommendations. Legislative, executive branch, administrative. We are going to take back all this information to the task force. We are going to need to talk with the people who will be implementing the change. Merit system reform is a long term process, its very complicated, when you change something it affects something else, so when you change something you have to be clear on the ripple effect.
- Q: The merit system is kind of like our union, and I want to know if it is a personnel cabinet, or someone in the merit system, or who it is that we aren't going to get a 5% raise. Although our premiums have gone down on our health insurance, the co-pays, have gone up, if you consider the actual increase in expenses if you use the health care, you actually end up losing money.
- A: The 5% is in the statute, based upon 2 things, the governor does a proposed budget and he may recommend or not recommend, then it goes to the legislature. The legislature looks at the priorities of the state. The 5% is different from the "rubber dollar" theory where pay adjustments are increased along with the governor's each year, the cost of living is different than the 5% in the statute. The health insurance issue is complicated each year the employee's contribution has remained level for a few years, while the cost to the employer has raised. ER: To answer the question about who you should talk to, I'm here and this will be taken back to the task force, I think the recommendation to maintain the increment will stay.
- Q: On Recommendation 25, what type of compensation is it talking about? On the performance evaluation system, is there any discussion with linking performance with pay raises?
- A: There's been a lot of discussion to make sure the managers are trained on how the system works and how to implement it. There really isn't any practical training on how to go in and do a mock evaluation to determine what goes into the evaluation. The compensation this is the pay for performance idea. This would tie those raises to the performance levels.
- Q: On Recommendation 24, I've been a state worker for 34 years, there is always concern with those new hires and those retirees, but not with those people who are long term employees, but not ready to retire.
- A: In our discussions it's been the long term employees which we have been concerned with.
- Q: With our agency they look at the new hires to be competitive with private sectors, the entry levels keep going up, while the mid-points don't. Min point is getting closer and closer to mid point.
- A: We have a group that are experts the Hay Group compression issues can be tracked with the new HRIS system. Sometimes there are positions which are difficult to fine; we give special entrance rate for areas with high turn-over rates. ER- the issues that you have raised are very common, this issue comes back to the lack of uniformity, if you don't have salary ranges and you don't have uniform

rules you are going to have inequity. You will have people similarly situated, doing the same job, getting different pay. Until that is fixed these things will happen again and again.

- Q: On Recommendation 25 regarding Uniformity on Performance Evaluations. Everyone's evaluation is different, because assistant's do different things in the office. So you are evaluated on different things, so there are a lot of problems with some being evaluated in 2 minutes in the hall way, while others go through a grueling 1 ½ hour evaluation. Will this be more uniformed?
- A: That's one example of what I'm talking about; those people doing the evaluations should be using the same criteria. Again that comes back to the looseness in the system. The more I got into this, the more I wonder if its designed to be that loose, its easy to do whatever you want to do.
- Q: There was a push to have counselors be harder. Some actually took it to heart and did the evaluation properly, and other's didn't. Because of the problems the next time everybody did it their own way.
- A: There's a lot of frustration because people don't think it's an effective way to do evaluations.
- Q: On Recommendation 24 regarding maximum salary cap. If you hit the max, once you are at the max level you will get the raise in a lump sum? Surely you don't think that is fair?
- A: There are two sides; you can make it for new employees coming in.

#### COMMENT AFTER SESSION CONCLUDED:

Will they consider a 4 day work week. Benefits from going to a 4 day work week: especially with the revenue dept. and family services, etc., customer service assistants. Employees would be working later to answer taxpayers questions after business hours. Reduce the cost of gasoline expense to and from workplace. It would allow employees to personal doctor's visits, etc. on their day off during the week instead of missing work. Productivity would increase there have been studies from consultants out of Louisville that a 4 day work week v. a 5 day work week that concluded that the 4 day work week was more productive. This issue is being addressed due to the e-mail from Secretary Rudolph saving costs from fleet cars used unnecessarily to save gasoline expenses, i.e. travel expenses.

# TAB E

#### **BOWLING GREEN**

**Attendance – approximately 110** 

Secretary Roberts welcomed and thanked everyone for attending and introduced staff and gave a brief overview of the Task Force. Secretary Roberts then went over the proposed recommendations which have shown the most interest.

Recommendation 1 & 7 - Political Discrimination

Recommendation 2: Implementation of new HRIS system. Can apply; make changes, change counties, etc. online so you won't have to come to Frankfort. The internal mobility function of the merit system will stay the same; we will still have it. However we will add a promotional track.

Recommendation 14: Grievance Process. 2 tracks. 1<sup>st</sup> track is grievance then peer review then personnel board. 2<sup>nd</sup> track is mediation, then peer review then personnel board. Right now there is a mediation option available but it is voluntary. Under the new system, if the employee requests mediation the employer would be mandated to go to mediation. This is to try to resolve disagreements quicker. Right now it takes about 6 months to get a case through the Personnel Board, secondly is the cost factor, currently the cost is upward of \$6500.

Recommendation 17: Drug Testing. Post-offer/Pre-employment – new applicants only. 2<sup>nd</sup> is Reasonable Suspicion testing that involves someone in the workplace suspected of being under the influence of drugs or alcohol while in the workplace. 3<sup>rd</sup> is Random Testing for limited personnel only (i.e. corrections officers, nurses, those in charge of children, etc.)

Recommendation 18: Military Leave – additional week of military leave to bring up to federal leave.

Recommendations 20, 21 & 22 – EEO & Affirmative Action.

Recommendation 23: Reduction in classifications in merit system. Re-occurring recommendation recommended in 1993 & 1996. Classifications are building blocks to the merit system.

Recommendation 24: Maintain annual increment – Maximum salaries. Task Force is very sensitive to the effects of maximums to long-term employees and employees approaching retirement. There is discussion to make this recommendation pertain to new employees only.

Recommendation 26: 40 hour work week. 6.67% pay increase. Agency would have to have the budget to do this. Could have a negative impact on employees approaching retirement – those persons could be allowed to opt out and maintain working the 37.5 hour work week.

Secretary Roberts then opened the floor for questions.

- Q: On Recommendation 24, many times grades stagnant and talking about having a min, mid & max with inflation and rising cost of living has any talk been given to adjusting those over the years.
- A: Right now the Personnel cabinet does a market survey of salaries to see if consistent with market. Over the years it's been 1% 2% increase. Governor's salary is set by the constitution, but the constitution "rubber dollar" theory. Each year cost of living is calculated and raise the governor's salary and then the pay grades are adjusted. Also, if we have maximums those surveys become more and more important and we will need to make sure that the salaries are still competitive with the market.
- Q: Two individuals you talked about which were merit employees are retirees, why aren't current merit employees are the task force?
- A: There are two current merit employees in addition and they have represented the employee's interests well. Also 9 employee organizations were represented as a panel.
- Q: Who are the legislatures?
- A: Rep. Mike Cherry, Sen. Dan Kelly, Rep. Jeff Hoover, Sen. R.J. Palmer.
- Q: Grievances went all the way to agency level then I was not able to talk to anybody else.
- A: That's what we need to look at whether the current grievance process works. When we first looked at this we determined that no agency could give you statistics on tracking the grievances through the various agencies. One of the recommendations is telling the personnel board to track those grievances. The grievance process is separate from the personnel board. Grievance procedure is on the personnel cabinet website it tells you what you can do. ER we should provide employees with information to the employees so that all employees understand what options are available to them.
- Q: Your name has to be put in for ACE/ERAs. Is there going to some kind of committee that looks at who gets ACE/ERAs?
- A: You've hit on good points; there isn't uniformity within cabinets, agencies, districts, etc. The only thing the Personnel Cabinet has to do with the ACE/ERA is the regulation of those awards. The personnel cabinet just has to determine if the recipient meets the criteria and that the agencies are within their allotment.

COMMENT: If it's not broke – leave it alone.

- Q: If they tell you they will get back to you in 3 weeks, they should. Why don't you answer in 3 weeks?
- A: Personnel Cabinet doesn't handle grievances, your personnel people do that, and you would have to check with your personnel people.
- Q: There's not someone in Frankfort that can help get you through the process?

- A: If you call the personnel cabinet and tell that you have questions on the grievance process. You can call the office of the secretary. It's also on the website. The grievance form and process info is on the website.
- Q: On recommendation 26 how would this hurt upcoming retirees?
- A: Calculation of time lets say you have your full time in and you want to roll that sick leave into your account. When you go to 40 hour work week, that time goes to 8 hours so you have to work more days to get to complete weeks. The plus side is that even though you earned the time at your 7.5 hour rate of pay, then you will get paid out at your new 8 hour rate of pay (6.67% higher).
- Q: Will we still be able to purchase time?
- A: Yes.
- Q: Regarding Recommendations 23 & 24, Some of this has been arbitrarily done, and she got this e-mail that said she got a new job title and there "probably" won't be a decrease in pay, but she would get a P1 in the mail. Out in the real world changing classifications may look good on paper but in the real world doesn't work. I'm in a cabinet that got ate up in a reorg. And as for the makeup of the task force, there is only 2 people on the task force, but those people are not what we consider rank in file employees. As for salary caps, there used to be a lot of benefits to be a state employee, but health insurance gets steadily worse over the year. Health Ins. Used to be a benefit, job security used to be a plus, the 5% used to be a plus, and all those things are gone. If you put these salary caps in you won't be able to staff the state.
- A: Secretarial reclassifications is part of the routine work of the personnel cabinet and isn't part of the big collapse of classifications. If this recommendation goes forward, then we will get back together with the employees to discuss the issues before it happens. If there is a maximum salary it creates a structure to work within or else you get pay inequality. You may have someone with lots of years making less than someone who is just coming in. In the federal system there are maximums, but you have steps for salary increases.
- Q: There definitely needs to be equality in pay, it happens in our office. But caps aren't going to work.
- A: You have to have structure.
- Q: Is Recommendation 2 going to make it easy for people to hire their friends?
- A: Its having someone who is ready and qualified for promotion to be able to be promoted.
- Q: How about peer review?
- A: Group of peers will not work in your office, but they will travel to you, so you won't have to come to Frankfort.
- Q: Does the merit system process really produce qualified people?

- A: How do you defined qualified, first step is defining qualified, which goes back to the classification and how their drafted, if you have clear standards for classifications then you can't just bring someone in who meets the minimals.
- Q: I think there needs to be formal education about what the merit system is.
- A: There is an employee handbook; we can't make someone conduct orientation. Currently it is left up to the agency.
- Q: Qualifications and how everybody should have qualifications, so say I was qualified for a job and got moved up. Why is somebody who has no experience at all, and they pull someone off the street and move them straight up?
- A: I think that is an internal mobility question.
- Q: E-mails should have been able to do those anonymously.
- A: Yes maam. I assure you that know one is researching those to find out who sent them. You could send an e-mail from a home account.
- Q: How are market surveys conducted? The 40 hour work week all employees are paid by salary not hourly.
- A: Done by state by state, and region by region, one by occupation. Employees are not all paid 40 hours.

COMMENT – Years ago all new employees went to a uniformed Orientation. It needs to go back to that, so all employees throughout state government.

# TAB F

### **COVINGTON**

**Attendance – Approximately 42** 

Secretary Roberts welcomed and thanked everyone for attending and introduced staff and gave a brief overview of the Task Force. Secretary Roberts then went over the proposed recommendations which have shown the most interest.

Recommendations 1 & 7 – Penalties for political discrimination, keep same (misdemeanor), make felony; and make civil with fines. Which ever option is decided we need to make sure that the provision that deals with that is clear. It lays out what is a violation and what is not. Right now it basically says thou shalt not commit political discrimination. We need to have clarity in what is a violation. We can't forget about the victim of the discrimination. We need to have clear mechanism to make the victim whole.

Recommendation 2 – HRIS System. Infrastructure that helps run the program for the state. In planning for the new system, we have personnel process which have to be merged into the new system. Some of the tools which will come out are: you will be able to apply, update, change your counties, etc. online. Also will be able to take test out in the state. The other portion of this recommendation is that there is a small change in which promotions would be handled. Addition of career paths. There will be a way to promote without having to go through the register process. If no candidate for promotion, you will then pull the internal mobility register, then the competitive register.

Recommendation 14 – Grievance Process. Now have a variety of ways to file a grievance. Proposes 2 tracks to help add things that will help resolve disputes quicker and less costly. 1<sup>st</sup> track is Grievance Process, then to peer review, then personnel board. 2<sup>nd</sup> track – mediation, then to peer review, then to personnel board.

Recommendation 17 – Drug Testing – post offer/pre-employment drug testing for new employees. 2<sup>nd</sup> reasonable suspicion; 3<sup>rd</sup> random testing (personnel charged with caring for others, correctional officers, nurses, child care, etc.)

Recommendation 18 – Military leave – give additional week of military leave to serve the country.

Recommendations 20, 21, & 22 – EEO, Affirmative Action. Disparity system to determine if there are any adverse impacts on protected groups. New Affirmative Action Program.

Recommendation 23 – Reduction in number of classifications. This recommendation has been made before in 1993 & 1996. They were reduced now previously but now we are back up. Classifications are the building blocks for the merit system. They set the pay grades, etc. If classifications are squared away there will be issues with the merit system.

Recommendation 24 – Maximum salaries. Maintain the annual increment. Will help solve pay inequality issues. Market survey to keep up with the private sectors so that state can be competitive, maximum salary will be adjusted accordingly. Task force is

aware that this issue can affect upcoming retirees and long-time employees; discussion is to make this for new employees only.

Recommendation 26-40 hour work week. Agencies must have the budget for implementing 40 hours work week. This will be implemented cabinet by cabinet and agency by agency. Leave balances would move forward and would accumulate leave at 8 hours once on the 40 hour work week.

Secretary Roberts then opened the floor to questions.

- Q: Reduction of classifications what would happen those people that those classifications are eliminated. Do you anticipate that people will be losing jobs?
- A: No, what we will do is write classifications board enough to cover job duties. Part of it would be having steps so that there will be several promotions within those classifications.
- Q: So you don't expect any loss of jobs?
- A: No, when the classifications are done. The classification describe it as a whole, the position description may be different agency to agency. The reduction will be in consolidating all different types of branch managers into one classification of branch managers. (ER) no moves to lay anybody off, it's just a move to organize the system.
- Q: It is very reassuring to have a Secretary to have a Secretary who can relate to our position. You are unique to the administration, and appreciate you coming here. What is your position on capping out current employees?
- A: Thank you for the compliment, I appreciate that. It is interesting because I know how hard folks work, sometimes you don't have enough staff or money and you still get the job done. I think we should grandfather current employees out to avoid hurting employees.
- Q: I feel less valued under this administration than any administration I've worked under. I think violations of merit system, should be stronger. I don't think administrations should not come in and clean people out of their jobs.
- A: If you look about 61% of non-merits are holdovers.
- Q: I've been in state gov't for 4 years; I know there is a lot of inconsistency within departments. For example, the workday. Hours should be the same to each department. Shift premiums I guess have shift premiums and some don't because of that you create morale problems. Employees find out that another employee gets shift premiums while another does not.
- A: State government is quite fragmented. Personnel Cabinet has broad abilities to make sure regulations are carried out. But you have agencies that do their own thing. I don't know what the answer is; I think we need to get uniformity throughout state government.

- Q: Is there any standardized time sheet, for reporting time?
- A: There should be. (MH) There is a standardized work day it is 8:00 4:30. However, the decision to alter that work day is with the appointing authority, its "flex time". We have the MARS time reporting system. You may have a different time sheet, but once it gets back to your personnel dept., it is done the same system. (ER) another regulation is having a uniformed leave slip. Have one that has all the different types of leave. Just another small attempt for uniformity.
- Q: If I wanted to suggest a shift premium, would I work through my own department?
- A: It would be through your own department.
- Q: On the steps in grade, has there been any consideration to look at the population where people are being hired. For instance, in Cincinnati, you can't often get good quality people for jobs because of the competition with private sector.
- A: I think what you are talking about is "locality pay" in the federal system. That has not been discussed, there's a lot more detail that can be put into these recommendations.
- Q: If you are going to do reclassed, has it come up to do site visits and actually do a desk audit?
- A: That's the kind of detail that is going to be needed to do this. We will have to be careful and take the time to get the expertise. This is the kind of thing that we would have to bring in some expertise. We would definitely have to get input.
- Q: When you apply, you need a resume. Now that I'm in state government I've noticed that my experience outside of state gov't is not valued. In promotional tracks, will past experience be taken in consideration for such promotion?
- A: It should, your full experience should count.
- Q: I noticed on one of the recommendations recommendation 25 training supervisors for evaluation. That's a good idea; I think it is necessary for supervisors to be able to adequately conduct that evaluation. Currently 2 days for outstanding, 1 for extremely effective. Is there someway to up that? I think if that can be done, it would really make a true incentive.
- A: I understand where you are coming from. I went to the training as well. Johnny Keene at the per. Cabinet does the training and does a good job. The next step is actually showing people how to evaluate. Right now we have a lot of people who come in as outstanding, highly effective. I know we have good people out there, but what we've heard from employees is that I work hard and my co-worker doesn't and we both score the same. We did talk about the reward; one of the things discussed was maybe having a monetary reward. But again that's a budget issue. There were also discussions to pay for performance where the evaluation drives raises, etc.

- Q: While you are on the evaluations, when they were started, you started at 5 and go down. Now my understanding is you start at 0 and work up. I also understand that ACE/ERA are going to be based on your evaluations.
- A: That may be so in some agencies. You actually don't start at 0 because it takes in consideration just showing up.
- Q: What are ACE/ERAs awards?
- A: Achieving Excellence award salary adjustments. The ERAs are lump sum awards.
- Q: Do all agencies do these?
- A: Some agencies have policies where they don't have budgets for this, some agencies have policies against.
- Q: I've never heard of these.
- A: They depend on budget, at one time the use of these were suspended. Some cabinets may have the money to do it, and some that don't.
- Q: When someone gets those awards is that something that is broadcast?
- A: I don't think there is a presentation; I think it's the supervisor talking with the recipient.
- Q: This creates a real morale problem. I didn't even know about these until I read the recommendations.
- A: We see them all, they come to us for concurrence, I know there has been some in transportation, you really have 9 / 10 separate state governments and people
- Q: Regarding Recommendation 5 This looks like any changes made through this task force could be undone at a later date? What are these "previous recommendations" that have not been implemented?
- A: This recommendation would take a look at the recommendations from 1993 & 1996 and do an annual report and an annual review of the merit system.
- Q: What does the Fletcher administration have in mind when revamping the merit system?
- A: I think the recommendations have laid out a good line of what the task force is looking at. (MH)- there has been a move to hire a more reflective employee base which reflect the demographic of the state. The last time a change was done was in 1982. One of the biggest problem is you can only get on 15 registers. With the new system, you we have the technology to allow you to get on as many as you want; however the law will prohibit us from doing that. (ER) depends on who you are talking about when you are talking about the administration. Gov. is waiting to hear from the task force and will move from there. Same thing with the legislature, I'm sure at sometime I will be testifying before a subcommittee about some of these recommendations.

- Q: Recommendation 18 increasing military leave time. To be honest in time of war, I don't think 20 days is enough.
- A: This makes the state's policy in line with the federal system. The best thing would be for the state to match the difference in pay when called up. It's a fiscal issue.
- Q: On the reclassifications, is there going to be an effort to maintain pay grade salaries when you go to consolidate these classifications, I can foresee some people losing a pay grade, how much of an impact to you see for that.
- A: As we make changes, I think it would be very rare that we would reduce someone's salary; there are occasions where pay grades have been reduced, buy pay remains the same. It's going to be done very carefully. I'd be surprises if we took money away from any body.
- Q: I know there was something done with the secretaries. I know a grade 12 left and I'm a grade 10, we have a lot of good secretaries our promotional
- A: We need to look within the personnel cabinet at how we process these types of things. We actually had a staff meeting today to talk about how we do these types of things better. The changes with the reclass also goes with the reclass. If you compress these things, you need to have steps for promotional consideration.
- Q: Recommendations 14 & 15 concerning the process where you are hired in under a certain class and then you are doing both jobs, because there is no money to hire to do both jobs. Then the job opens up, and you don't get it, and you file a grievance. I don't understand the grievance process the bucks stops within the dept. or agency and doesn't go any further than that, and I don't know so I think this recommendation will address this.
- A: There are two things happening within the recommendations, reclassification some times there's more pay sometimes there's not, if there is a promotion that is more employee friendly. With the situation you just described, because promotions will be permissible, you will be promoted. Right now they can't just pick you, but this would remedy that. The other thing is the grievance piece. Grievances are handled in each cabinet individually, I started asking how many grievances do we have, what kind of grievances do we have, and nobody knows because no one is tracking this. One of the recommendations is that the personnel board will have to track this so we can determine if it is effective or if it needs to be changed, or a new tool created for employees. I feel very good about mediation, because that is something that is tracked. It is easy for employees because the mediators come to you.
- Q: Another job classifications where you go right away agent, I, II or III. There's no salary increase from a II or III with additional duties.
- A: That blows my mind; you would think you would bump them up some. If you're going to assign someone more job duties, you need to compensate them for that. There needs to be a family of job families so there are promotional ranges in each.

In closing, if you are interested in knowing more about the task force on our website, there is a link to the merit system task force, because you can relive all the meetings. KET has done video streaming.

# TAB G

#### **PRESTONSBURG**

**Attendance – approximately 90** 

Secretary Roberts welcomed and thanked everyone for attending and introduced staff and gave a brief overview of the Task Force. Secretary Roberts then went over the proposed recommendations which have shown the most interest.

Recommendations 1 & 7: Political Discrimination. Three proposals...1 keep as is, 2<sup>nd</sup> is to make felony, 3<sup>rd</sup> is to make civil with fines.

Recommendation 2: HRIS System. More employee friendly. Online application, updating, testing, get on registers, etc. Internal Mobility will remain. Internal candidates will continue to receive preference. One proposal to enhance internal mobility. Method to create a career path.

Recommendation 14: Grievance process improvement recommendation. Personnel Board is so busy it takes along time to process a grievance – about 6 months. Also, the average case is approx. \$6500 plus the cost of attorney to employee. Two tracks to help resolve grievances, appeals quicker and with more cost effective way. 2 tracks a grievance track and a mediation track. Employee picks one of the tracks. 1<sup>st</sup> track is grievance track, first file grievance then peer review committee (panel of merit employees from a different office and give n opinion on how the grievance should be resolved, if no resolution then to personnel board. The mediation track is the same way. Currently mediation is available on a voluntary basis. We have found that mediation has a high success rate. The employee chooses mediation; the agency is required to go mediation. A third party would come to you, sit down with the 2 parties and try to get a resolution, if doesn't work then to peer review then to personnel board. Currently the grievance process is not being monitored; under this recommendation all grievances will be tracked.

Recommendation 17: Drug Testing program. 3 types of drug testing for state employees, only applies to 18A employees both merit and non-merit. 1<sup>st</sup> type is post offer/pre-employment only applies to new applicants. 2<sup>nd</sup> type is reasonable suspicion. Employee who is at the workplace under the influence. 3<sup>rd</sup> type is random testing – Aimed at having a deterrent effect. Limited to personnel in public safety positions, care of children, nurses, etc.

Recommendation 18: military leave – additional week of leave. In line with Federal Civil Service.

Recommendations 20, 21, & 22: EEO & Affirmative Action Program. Disparity Study to determine if the merit system affects members of protected classes in an adverse way. Training supervisors and managers to execute the EEO & Affirmative Action Plan

Recommendation 23: Reduction of Classifications. This is a reoccurring recommendation. Recommended in 1993 & 1996. Classification system is the building blocks for the merit system

Recommendation 24: Maximum salaries. Recommends maintaining the annual increment. Annual increment has been something that has been done away with in the past years because of budgetary restraints in the legislature. Maximum salary range. This adds structure to the pay scale for state employees. If this change happens we grandfather out current employees, and make this law for new employees only. Will continue doing annual market surveys to determine salary adjustments which are needed and will increase the maximum to be competitive with the market. There is currently a lot of pay inequality in state government this recommendation will help fix that inequality.

Recommendation 26: 40 hour work week. It is currently possible for agencies to go to 40 hour work week. Recently Finance Cabinet, Dept. of Corrections & Dept. of Juvenile Justice have gone to a 40 hour week. If the agency goes to the 40 hour work week, employees will be compensated for the additional time which would equate to a 6.67% increase. The way finance did it is 1<sup>st</sup> you have to have the budget to do it. Finance had a lot of vacant positions which they gave up. Agencies who have a 40 hour work week can recruit more people because of the additional pay. Agencies who don't have it are losing personnel because of the increase pay at the agency having the 40 hour work week.

Secretary Roberts then opened the floor for questions.

- Q: Example of one of the Classifications that have 5 or less.
- A: Economic Development created 6 new classifications Economic Area development officers. To have specific job duties specific to their cabinet & agencies. (this has 6 employees, current merit employees moved into that classification.)
- Q: Yearly increments end for employees who have reached the maximum
- A: Once you got to the maximum you would be eligible for lump sum cash awards that would be equivalent to what the increment would be.
- Q: Would that be based on your performance or automatic to everybody
- A: I think for everybody, pay for performance will be end there.
- Q: When will we know if this will be changed?
- A: When the legislature changes the statutes. This would require a change in regulation. We will know what the task force's recommendation is after the next meeting.
- Q: Updated changes will be made know to us, you'll let us know in the next meeting
- A: Yes, they will be on the website, posted. This will be a long term process, especially with any maximum any classification changes. This will be a first step, then a legislative process.
- Q: Elaborate on the process where this goes from here.

- A: All the questions are taken down and will be compiled and a FAQ will be on the website, everything we have will be organized and provided to the task force members. We hope to get that to them at least 10 days before the next meeting. ER will report what he has heard and seen in a summary fashion, what the employees are interested in. From there the task force will vote. I think we will have some new recommendations, for example, implementing a new employee orientation program. Recommendations will go to the Legislature, and to the Governor. It will go to the folks who will be responsible for implementing changing. I will continue to urge you to provide your comments, questions, emails, etc.
- Q: How will it work to apply how will that improve.
- A: Things are primarily paper. We don't have a lot of technological abilities. With the new system you will be able to post your resume online, for every classification you want to be on a register for. Because of technological restraints currently you can only be on 15 registers. With new system you can self-select which registers and can be on as many as you want. Hiring Authority can run inquiry for specific knowledge and skills. Testing can also be done online. This will be in a proctored environment.
- Q: What are the correct steps for filling a position within an office? Should the supervisor be allowed to fill the position without posting, having an employee in an acting position until they gain enough experience to qualify?
- A: These are posted on our website. Having employees in an acting position until they qualified is one of those things that are created by the looseness in the system. Because of this you have a lot of different things being done in the various agencies. The authority that is delegated to each cabinet to make their own personnel decisions. Its one of those tricks if you will in the merit system and you can do it. There is nothing that can prevent that from happening.
- Q: Drug Testing is there going to be where every one in the division will be drug tested not just the CDL drivers. They don't test anyone in construction, etc.
- A: All new applicants, and any one in the workplace who is suspected will be tested.
- Q: In district 12 they only test for marijuana and cocaine, they don't test for pills. What type of drugs will be tested for?
- A: All illegal drugs, prescription drugs will be trickier. It will model the federal civil service. They will test for levels.
- Q: Some things don't show up in urine test.
- A: Its going to be a lot like the peer review panels, you've got to make sure you don't have the fox guarding the hen house. All these procedures will have to be looked at well. We would use laboratories that are outside state government.
- Q: Less and less jobs are placed on the register for testing and more for qualifications.

- A: We receive a request from the agency to change the position from testing to qualifying and stating the reasons for this. They feel the qualifying method will give them the best candidate for the job. In the media they've presented it as if the administration is trying to make people do, when really we are just authorizing the requested change. The testing program is ineffective. Some of the tests haven't been updated or improved for the position. So you can get people who test well but can't do the job.
- Q: Is there any study that has been done that shows a correlation that testing does not find the best candidate.
- A: There are, but tests are only one factor, the person still has to be able to interviewed and able to do the job.
- Q: There's been some discussion about listing some positions as internal mobility only. Some people think of that as discrimination also.
- A: For example, if you have a female job candidate who is qualified to do the job and is outside of state government. And an internal candidate who is a male and is inside of state gov't. The male would be selected. The female may feel discriminated against. It goes a little further because the statutes contradict each other. You have one statute that says you won't hire by discrimination and then you have another that says you will hire someone within a protective classes. There needs to be a balance.
- Q: But don't you find that in all sectors. I think the people who come in to state government should be able to be promoted.
- A: I think it's a balance.

# TAB H

### **HAZARD**

**Attendance – approximately 67** 

Secretary Roberts welcomed and thanked everyone for attending and introduced staff and gave a brief overview of the Task Force. Secretary Roberts then went over the proposed recommendations which have shown the most interest.

Recommendations 1 & 7: Political discrimination. Split three ways. Keep as misdemeanor, make a felony, make civil with loss of job and fines. We need to make it clear what is not permitted. Other focus is making the victim of the discrimination whole. We need to have something in place which takes in consideration the employee's position, i.e. get the promotion, giving the job.

Recommendation 2: HRIS system. New computer system to help run the merit system. With new technology the applicant will be able to apply on line, update, get on register, change counties, testing, etc. Include promotion career paths or career ladders for internal employees. Internal mobility will remain but if you have a position vacant in your office, and you have someone in house who is ready to be promoted you can promote them without going through the entire register process.

Recommendation 14: Grievance process. Long process about 6 months to get to Personnel Board plus it costs about \$6500. Create two tracks to help resolve grievances and appeals quicker and more cost effective. Track 1 is the grievance process, then peer review, then Personnel Board. Track 2 is mediation track, then peer review then personnel Board. Mediation would be mandatory to the agency, if the employee requested mediation the agency would have to take part, the mediator would come out in the state to the employee, if this did not result in an adequate resolution then to peer review then to Personnel Board.

Recommendation 17: Drug Testing. Three types of drug testing. 1<sup>st</sup> is post offer/preemployment for new employees only. 2<sup>nd</sup> is Reasonable Suspicion – if suspected of being under the influence in the workplace; 3<sup>rd</sup> is random testing – Those with CDL Licenses, nurses, people in charge of children, heavy machinery operator, positions of public safety or high sensitivity.

Recommendation 18: Military Leave. Giving an additional week of military leave to serve your country. In track with the federal civil service military leave policy.

Recommendations 20, 21 & 22: EEO and Affirmative Action. Conduct disparity study to see if people are being treated unfairly in regard to one of the protected classes. Affirmative Action Plan and EEO policy to be updated. Train hiring personnel to understand how the EEO and Affirmative Action Plan are to be utilized.

Recommendation 23: Deals with the reduction of classifications in the merit system. This is a reoccurring recommendation was made in 1993 and again in 1996, and again now. Classifications are the building blocks of the merit system. Must have a good classification foundation to have a good merit system. If the classification system is faulty the merit system will be faulty as well.

Recommendation 24: Task force is recommending that the annual increment is being maintained. The annual increment is one of those issues that are an executive and legislative issue. Legislature would have to look at the annual increment from a budget standpoint and make it work. Adding a maximum to each salary range. This recommendation was made first in 1996. This is an attempt to add structure to the pay grades. We've heard it a lot in these meetings that because there is no maximum there is a lot of pay and salary inequity. Because there will be two people who have the same experience, knowledge and skills and one will be paid one salary in one area and the other will be paid differently even though they are conducting the same job responsibilities. The task force had a lot of discussion about the impact that this would have on those reaching retirement and also current long-term employees. There would be a provision to do a lump sum award to those long-term employees. It was suggested that if maximums were put in that current employees be grandfathered out and only apply to new employees. Every year the personnel cabinet does market survey to determine competitiveness with industry. These surveys will become more important with maximum salaries so that the maximum range could be adjusted with inflation as needed.

Recommendation 26: 40 hour work week. There are already some employees who work a 40 hour work week. This recommendation is to see if it could be expanded, if it is practical to be done. Two things to make this work. First of all personnel must be paid additional for the additional time, some agencies have the budget for this and some do not. Finance, Corrections, and Dept. of Juvenile Justice has done this. Finance was able to do this because they had about 100 positions that were unfilled that they gave up to come up with the money to pay the 6.67% increase in salary. The folks that currently have this do not want any other agencies to have it because they use the additional salary as a recruitment technique. If the agency goes to a 40 hour work week you will accumulate leave on the 8 hours, but your current leave balance would move forward without change.

- Q: According to news reports since the task force was empanelled. There wasn't going to be any input from rank & file employees until recently. Are we really going to have input.
- A: From the very first meeting, I told the task force and all that would listen that employee input is very much needed. We have gone through several stages, we have the web e-mail link which has gone to the task force, we had employee organization leaders who presented as a panel to the task force, and we have merit employee representation on the task force. I'm here as a member of the task force but also as Personnel Cabinet Secretary. I thought we need to do this myself. The reason it was done later on, was because the task force had to get up to speed with the merit system. Then I was going to do it sooner, because my wife & I had twins. I always wanted to do this; I think it is the best way to get to the bottom of this.

If you don't feel comfortable you can write your question down and we will read the question.

- Q: Dept. of Public Advocacy (lawyer). As far as the drug testing you are opening up can of worms and liability because this can be misused in many ways, I don't know that you could write in enough safeguards to keep it from being abused. Second comment is by having the classification pay statewide you will have some regions having a hard time getting qualified personnel.
- A: These meetings have been very eye-opening to me. It is good to get out and see what the people are going through outside of Frankfort. We wouldn't be looking at trying to reinvent the wheel on drug testing, we would be looking at adopting other state's policies which have been tried and tested. Locality pay has come up and it's a note that we are going to take to the task force. This will also be available to the legislature and the governor for additional recommendations.
- Q: Decreasing qualifications for rural areas so that they can get people to fill positions.
- A: We'll pass this along.
- Q: Interim Employees be subject to the drug testing
- A: Yes.
- Q: When we look at the classifications if there is a classification that has 10 people in it will you just look at the number or will you look and determine whether the class is actually needed?
- A: We will look at it carefully to make sure the unique classification is justified.
- Q: When I was hired drug testing was not contingent upon my employment and I am not inclined to go along with this.
- A: The drug problem in Kentucky is very well documented. There has been a couple of pilot programs one in Corrections and one in juvenile justice. Out of 25 applicants 8 or 9 tested positive. The next is where you hear about it by word of mouth. You hear it a lot you hear it over and over again, I'm not saying there are a lot of employees out there on drugs, but one is too many. We are required to have a drug free workplace.
- Q: I know what the requirements are. Why would you want to change the rules now?
- A: Let's talk afterwards.
- Q: If someone tests positive, you won't be able to fire them you will have to get them treatment. We can't get our increments and now we are going to pay for that.
- A: Currently we have KEAP and the costs of the rehab and insurance usually pay it.
- Q: I hope that these decisions the cost should weigh heavily.
- A: Yes, of course.
- Q: What effect will the 40 hour work week have on sick leave?
- A: You will accumulate at the 8 hour work, but what you had before will stay as is.

- Q: How long does it take to get an answer for a reallocation request currently sent to Secretary Roberts?
- A: Please come up and we'll discuss this afterwards
- Q: Based on age, no promotion for older career employees. COMMENT take care of senior employees.
- A: Again this is what the grandfathered clause would do.
- Q: How is the max on the salary caps determined and from the perspective to get new employees into state employees as people retire? Wouldn't capping hurt the recruitment process?
- A: Kentucky uses the HAY system (an HR Consulting group) they would come in and do an in depth market survey on salaries and figure out what maximums would be. The promotional recommendation you will add steps so people can progress up the pay scale. You would have to do it carefully and smartly.
- Q: Would you provide a list of the people on the task force and their job titles?
- A: All this is on the Personnel Cabinet's website. All the minutes from all the meetings, the presentations, etc. Also there is an e-mail link which you can send your comments and questions.
- Q: In looking at classifications and due to the workforce there is no doubt that change may be needed. When the HAY study was done in 1996 a lot of money was paid, is there another method to get this done to save money?
- Q: With the caps you have someone making \$30,000 and the maximum is \$25,000 would the person be bumped up to the next pay grade.
- A: That's what we were talking about, that's why you would need to grandfather currently employees out.
- Q: On the average how many years must be worked before a staff can reach the midpoint for this pay grade?
- A: It's all over the board. We have a merit system for the state, but we really have a bunch of merit systems within the state. It's up to the appointing authority to decide a whole mired of things including how people are paid. As long as you have.
- Q: Are these recommendations going to tie up some of the loose ends. I know there is a lot of disparity between salaries doing the same job.
- A: Again that's the looseness of the system. Really where you see it the most is pay.
- Q: I've heard rumors that there might be a move to get rid of people close to retirement.

- A: That is something that is determined by the retirement system. We are actually trying to prepare for it at the Personnel Cabinet. I have heard that they may change the pay factor. These are questions for the retirement board.
- Q: I'm a field inspector and I guess in Frankfort you can move up as far as you can go. If you are in the field and you cap us there is no where to go.
- A: To get a lot of advancement you have to come to Frankfort, I don't know why that is.
- Q: State gov't needs to think outside the box and implement
- A: We're trying.
- Q: On the background checks with applicants, will the personnel cabinet be sharing that information with the appointing agencies.
- A: It's on the initial application that we do the background checks. Once that's screened out and the person has been not been put on the register. The NCIC recommendation would give the Cabinet authority to look at the employee and that information will be shared with the agencies.
- Q: Future hiring process, qualifying, & register process.
- A: It will be the same. We'll still have internal mobility and competitive registers. The main difference is promotional tracks. A supervisor will be able to promote a person without having to do the register process if the employee is qualified and promoteable. You will be able to basically self-service, make changes to your application, put yourself on registers.
- Q: We need access to vision and dental insurance, a payroll deduction group policy needs to be available.
- A: You have dental and vision available to you on your own. One of the things we've been looking at is grouping that together to use our bargaining power to get a better contract for vision & dental insurance.
- Q: Why not allow public employees to run for political office not just those non-partisan offices?
- A: A lot of AG opinions from the late 70s ruled that a public servant is a public servant and can't serve two masters. It is a constitutional issue for salaries. Part of what you give up is your right to run for political office, this comes from Supreme Court decision.
- Q: Why can teachers run?
- A: They are not 18A employees, and they are not at will employees they are contract employees. There is difference, they can be let go. After 5 years they are tenured.
- Q: When this system gets going its going to be great. This sounds like it's a done deal.

- A: No, this is far from a done deal. There is a lot that has to be done. The personnel cabinet is stuck in the middle, but we can't program the new system until legislation is complete. A lot of these things are long term deals which are going to take a while to put in place. Some require legislation change, regulations would have to be promulgated and made into law, there are some things that are executive that the Governor can do, and some can be done at the agency level.
- Q: Is the reorganization is still in, and been signed off.
- A: There has been some reorganization, but I'm not sure of the status of the Transportation Cabinet. We process them after they are done.
- Q: Worked for 20 years, administrative manger over personnel at transportation cabinet as of November and told me that they no longer needed me, they moved a person in to my position with 3 years of experience.
- A: If you feel that you have been discriminated again, I would file an appeal with the personnel board. My authority is limited I have the ability to investigate. You've got to execute your rights.
- Q: I brought this up to Mr. Nighbert 3 weeks ago, and he couldn't tell me what was going on. I'm starting to get mad.
- A: You've got to pursue your rights to the board, if you feel it is a crime take it to the AG. The personnel cabinet has very little control. There's not much we can do, we can't forward it to the personnel board on your behalf you will have to do that, I could pass it along to the AG for review.
- Q: In regards to ACE/ERAs can you elaborate more on that?
- A: The recommendation is to try to connect those more to the evaluations, and use those more. Right now people get a couple days leave for exceptional performance, trying to use ACE/ERAs for performance.
- Q: Is there something there for furthering education?
- A I'm not aware of any.

# TAB I

#### **KY DAM VILLAGE**

**Attendance – Approximately 60** 

Secretary Roberts welcomed and thanked everyone for attending and introduced staff and gave a brief overview of the Task Force. Secretary Roberts then introduced Representative Michael Cherry.

Representative Cherry makes remarks – task force has taken unbiased look at the merit system. We are committed to not doing any thing to weaken the merit system, but to strengthen the merit system. If we don't come out with unanimous issues then it is pretty well deemed dead with legislation. Happy to be here, been with the task force from the get go, it has been a rewarding experience, my daddy was an old merit system employee (correctional officer). I've seen the merit system since its inception.

Secretary Roberts then went over the proposed recommendations which have shown the most interest.

Recommendations 1 & 7: Political discrimination issue. The task force is considering three options when it comes to how to handle political discrimination. 1<sup>st</sup> option keep misdemeanor, 2<sup>nd</sup> make felony, 3<sup>rd</sup> make civil with loss of job and fines. ER would want to have provisions about what happens to the victim, wants to make the victim whole.

Recommendation 2: New HRIS system. New system will allow better technology and capabilities. The new system will be able to allow personnel to apply online, change counties, place self on register, test, etc. The new system will be self-service, employee friendly. Allow a career ladder, promotion ladder within the system. The internal mobility will still be here, but the new system will allow for someone in the office who has been there and been doing a good job and is promoteable they can move up, without going through the register process.

Recommendation 14: Mediation process. Attempts to address the grievance process for state employees. The personnel board works very well, but have staffing and funding issues which causes cases not be completed quickly and is costly (currently about \$6500 per case for the state). Two tracks – 1<sup>st</sup> track is grievance. File grievance if that doesn't work then to peer review if that doesn't work then personnel board. 2<sup>nd</sup> track is mediation. File mediation (mandatory for agency, mediator comes to the employee), if that doesn't work then peer review then personnel board. Also currently grievance process isn't monitored. This recommendation provides that the personnel board monitor grievances filed and mediation.

Representative Cherry – The personnel board has not oversight, this recommendation combined with recommendation 15 would require legislative oversight and make the personnel board report to the legislature with the cases it handles (but we wouldn't get to personal cases). How does it rule statically, etc.?

Recommendation 17: Drug Testing. 3 types of drug testing.  $1^{st}$  is Post offer-preemployment – only applies to new applicants.  $2^{nd}$  is reasonable suspicion – employee in the workplace under the influence of drugs or alcohol can be tested.  $3^{rd}$  – random

testing – only for personnel in high sensitivity jobs, i.e. nurses, child care providers, public safety positions (correctional officers).

Recommendation 18: Military Leave – additional week of military leave. Brings up to the federal leave policy

Recommendations 20, 21 & 22: EEO & Affirmative Action. Disparity Study – to see if there are any adverse effects on members of the protected classes in state hiring. Provide training on how to implement the affirmative action plan. Update the Affirmative Action Plan.

Recommendation 23: Reduction of Classifications. Classifications are building blocks for the merit system.

Recommendation 24: Maximum salaries. Maintain the annual increment. Task force thought of long time employees reaching retirement. These employees could be grandfathered out. Needs structure within the pay system so that we don't have pay inequality within the various cabinets/agencies. Market surveys would be necessary to make sure the maximum salaries are adjusted for inflation and cost of living so state employees are competitive with the market.

Recommendation 26: 40 hour work week. Currently there are state employees who work a 40 hour work week. Currently it is permissible and is up to the agency. This recommendation encourages that to see if it is a good option for their agency.

Secretary Roberts then opened the floor for questions.

- Q: Who appoints the peer review?
- A: That is a good question. These recommendations are very general and the detail will be put in later. I would envision that the employees of the agencies would elect peer review committee and these people would rotate on and off. There are other states that have peer review, and we would talk to those folks to fine out what works well.
- Q: Legislative overview of Personnel Board.
- A: Right now the personnel board does an annual report. The new report will include additional information in its report, i.e. grievance process. This is used to create accountability. Then legislature could make legislative changes.
- Q: Drug Testing. Required drug testing after a work related injury.
- A: Yes, this would fit into the reasonable suspicion criteria.
- Q: Sick time, we have 7.5 hours which is a whole day. If we go to the 40 hour day would we start getting it at 8 hours?
- A: The leave balances would stay at 7.5 hours, but if you left state gov't and get paid out for annual & comp. balances at the new rate of pay (6.67% increase).

- Q: What if the whole state moved to it?
- A: It would stay at 7.5 hours. That is something that has come up at another meeting, that it be converted week to week.
- Q: There would not be a cap on salaries of current employees.
- A: Right now the recommendation is just to do it, but there has been discussion to grandfather out employees. In my opinion, I don't think it will work any other way.

Cherry added the legislative oversight means quite a lot. Agencies and boards do not like to have their agenda's publicly scrutinized, the end result if the legislatures would have the rationale for changing if the board or agency is doing something not to the legislature's liking.

ER – accountability is always a good thing.

- Q: On Recommendation. 2, this provides for the capability for internal promotion without requiring external consideration. How does this affect the hiring process?
- A: On the interview process, it would limit the number of interviews, if the agency decided to go inside. It would reduce the number of competitive registers. I look at it as additional internal mobility. If an employee was there and is promoteable that employee would be promoted. If no internal promoteable then go to internal mobility register, if no internal mobility register candidate is found, then to competitive register.
- Q: The Personnel Board as far as going to peer review and mediation is that done in the region?
- A: Yes sir, that's the whole idea. We would at least try to have it regional a mediator or peer review committee would come to you.
- Q: Would drug testing be done by an outside agency?
- A: Would go to a third party, or outside laboratory.
- Q: Regarding Recommendation 2, at transportation we have a number of career paths within that organization. I think realistically the whole thing about this is 5% or 10% it's a reclass vs. a promotion. I think we should consider just eliminating reclass. Or we should have two types of reclass, either reclass with promotional period or just a reclass.
- A: That is a big part of what's going on with this recommendation. Reclasses lead to a lot of issues when it comes to the number of classifications it is a way to get someone a raise by giving the employee some additional duties and then reclass them. I think with a promotional track the raises will be better, because you will get the 5% raise and then the additional 5% when you complete probation. If you not have a set promotional track, then you have individual's doing reclasses on their own, and you get some of the pay inequality.

- Q: Internal Mobility we would also be looking at internal candidates.
- A: The external competition they are thinking of potential employees coming in from both outside of state gov't as well as employees from other agencies.
- Q: Proposed Future Hiring Processes. I would like to make a comment of the panel. I think there should be more rules on who was on the panel selection panel or interview panel. I think it ought to be up to the head of the agency to make the final decision on upholding the panel's decision. I have a problem with outside interference. The agency head should be able to make their final pick for the hiring decision. Could we have a certification for the panel that says there is no external pressure to make the hiring decision?
- A: If you have been in the process of procurement you know that there are very stringent things that can't interfere with that process. With the merit system, there are currently no set guidelines for people making hiring decisions. We need better training, better orientation, to make sure they are selecting the best qualified candidate.
- Q: If this goes through the legislative process and gets adopted (talking about the 5% v. 10%) I would like to see some adjustment provision for employees in the middle of this process. So people who get caught in the middle can have their agency make an adjustment to their salary.
- A: We are going to be very careful about how to do this so that we don't hurt current employees. Because of the lack of uniformity in the system, it will be difficult to implement these changes without hurting someone currently employed. It might be that we have to run 2 merit systems for a while so that nobody gets harmed. This is not a quick change, these changes are long term.
- Q: I am a Court of Appeals Judge for this district. Quoted the Philpod case Mr. Philpod when he was terminated for cause sought the review through normal administrative remedies. Suggestion for legislature through chapter 13 Specific requirements the legislature should set up specifically what is to be included in the final order advising the aggrieved party of their rights and consequences for doing so.
- A: (MH) You are absolutely right. We would like to have employees have the opportunity to be able to maneuver the process with clarity of the process. ERwe need clear language written into the merit system law which will provide clear definitions. ER Something that has come up is having a coordinated orientation program for employees so employees can have their rights explained to them upon employment.
- Q: Can you discuss time lines for implementing these recommendations?
- A: Items that are legislative, we will work hard to get them to the legislature this session, if they make law; usually legislative changes are made by July. Some of these things, i.e. reduction of classification, maximum salaries, the new process are long term (even if they become law) will take a long time to complete.

Executive function can be done quicker if the Governor decides we are going to do that. Things like the 40 hour work week can be done tomorrow if the agency decides to go that way.

- Q: If there is a promoteable employee will the supervisor be required to hire that employee, and if the promoteable employee is not hired does this open the door for the employee to file more grievances.
- A: I wouldn't imagine it would be a requirement. The supervisor I would think would have some discretion, they would know best whether the employee is promoteable or not. Anybody that is making a hiring decision is subject to a grievance process. I don't see it as increasing it all.
- Q: Transportation employees were told that no more promotions, only reclasses.
- A: That's come up at every meeting. Yes that is true currently, because each appointing authority decides what they do within their agency. I think that is a good example of the underlying issues within the merit system. You've got different agencies doing different things, we need uniformity. If these things pass and become law that all appointing authorities shall do x, y, and z or shall have promotional tracks then they wouldn't be able to do that.
- Q: Who decides the reclass, Transportation or Personnel?
- A: Transportation. Big Personnel as we are called, lots of people have the impression that we are making these rules. We have policies for personnel cabinet employees and we have responsibility for making sure regulations are followed. But agencies have the ability to do a lot of different things as long as they are working within the regulations.
- Q: Capping salaries, I'm in the secretarial pool. A new employee right now they have reclass, so some of us have been moved down a grade. If you hire new employees with this cap, is the cap figured, if they stay in the same position, in what year will they reach their cap?
- A: That's the kind of detail that we need to get to. The only reference I have, is the way the federal gov't does their. I would imagine the cap would be up there quite a bit so that they will have steps, so they are bumped up early on in their careers.
- Q: Ideally working for the state is not going down, but moving up. Why do you have to have a cap. Gas goes up; milk goes up, why can't our salaries.
- A: There is no reason why we can't do it. This would give structure to our system. MH these market surveys, will adjust each year based on Cost of Living, plus the increment. Plus employees reaching cap will be given lump sum award.
- Q: If you go from 7.5 hour work day to 8 hour. And you start looking at your leave time; if you had 750 hours accumulated you had 100 days, if you go to 8 hours. If you don't adjust the time you then lose time.
- A: That's an issue that has been raised at all meetings.

- Q: Reclass vs. Promotion. It is in each cabinet's hands what they do. One person should not be able to keep you from getting the 5% or not 10%.
- A: Amen
- Q: Evaluations currently you get no days, 1 day, or 2 days. You should consider letting the employee evaluate the boss for their effectiveness.
- A: All merit employees get evaluated.
- Q: When you hire people in off the street, there needs to be control on where someone is hired in at, either at min point, mid point, or max.
- A: What I see is a pay issue, as long as you have a range,

All the discussion today, will be put together and will be compiled and will be given to the task force for their review, I also intend to sit down at the next task force meeting to carry the message to the task force of the employees input. Also, if you want to know more about the task force, personnel.ky.gov you can have the whole task force experience, KET has video taped all the meetings, and the presentations, meeting minutes, is on there. If you're interest in knowing more, please go to the website. There is also a link on there where you can e-mail the task force.

# $\overline{TABJ}$

### **LOUISVILLE**

**Attendance – approximately 77** 

Secretary Roberts welcomed and thanked everyone for attending and introduced staff and gave a brief overview of the Task Force. Secretary Roberts then went over the proposed recommendations which have shown the most interest.

Recommendations 1 & 7: Political Discrimination. Task force divided three ways. 1<sup>st</sup> keep as is, 2<sup>nd</sup> make felony, 3<sup>rd</sup> make civil with loss of job and civil fine. Whatever option we go with it needs to be clear what the violation is. Also would like to look at the victim of the discrimination. Should be some mechanism to fix the wrong and make the victim whole.

Recommendation 2: New HRIS System. New system will allow employee self-service, New system will allow you to apply, update, change counties, put yourself on register, and testing in a proctored environment in remote locations. Create career ladders and promotional tracks. In addition to Internal Mobility, you will have promotional tracks so if you have an employee is promoteable they can be promoteable if qualified without going through the register process.

Recommendation 14: Grievance & Mediation Process. Currently to have a personnel board case it takes about 6 months and \$6500 (state cost) to process a case. This recommendation would create two tracks. 1<sup>st</sup> track is grievance and if not successful then to peer review panel, then to personnel board; or the employee could choose 2<sup>nd</sup> track of mediation, if mediation not successful then to peer review and then to personnel board. Mediation is currently a voluntary program. This recommendation would make it an official program. If the employee chooses mediation then the agency would have to participate.

Recommendation. 17: Drug Testing – 3 types of drug testing. 1<sup>st</sup> is post offer/preemployment drug testing – new applicants only. 2<sup>nd</sup> is reasonable suspicion – employee in the workplace under the influence of alcohol and drugs. 3<sup>rd</sup> is random testing – very limited – limited to those employees in charge of others, i.e. nurses, child care providers, correctional officers, and also CDL licensees. We would not try to reinvent the wheel, other states and private sector employers have done this, and we would mirror others' programs to protect employees' constitutional rights.

Recommendation 18: Military Leave – gives an additional 1 week of military leave to reservists to serve their country. This would be in line with the federal military leave policy.

Recommendations 20, 21, & 22: EEO – Affirmative Action Plan. Complete a disparity study to determine if the state's hiring policies adversely affects members of the protected classes. Also brings the affirmative action plan up to date. Also provides for training for individuals responsible for hiring decisions so they will know how to implement the affirmative action plan.

Recommendation 23: Reduction of classifications. Originally made in 1993 and then again in 1996.

Classifications are the building blocks of the merit system. They are very important if the classifications aren't in order then the merit system won't be in order. In addition to reducing the classifications, we really should take some time and see what the classifications contain. They need to be updated to current needs, an example is clerical classifications do not contain any requirement for computer skills.

Recommendation 24: Maintain annual increment. Sets maximum salaries. Maximum salaries within the pay grades. Currently we only have a minimum and mid-point. This was also recommended previously. The main purpose to have maximum salaries is to add structure to the pay scale. Employees in the same grade, same experience, same duties paid one thing in one cabinet and another employee with equal exp. and duties paid less. Without maximum you have pay inequality. Discussion to grandfather those current employees so not to hurt those employees who are long time employees, or employees nearly retirement. If this recommendation was passed by the task force and if the legislature agreed to place it into law there would be an effective date for the maximum salary and any one hired after that date would have a maximum salary.

Recommendation 26: 40 hour work week. Some agencies already have a 40 hour work week. This is a recommendation to those agencies that don't have it to take a look at it and see if it would work for their agencies. This of course, creates a budget concern, this results in a 6.67% pay increase.

Secretary Roberts then opened the floor for questions.

- Q: Regarding Recommendations 20, 21, & 22 is this referring to all the protected classes?
- A: Yes all protected classes.
- Q: Does some agencies have a 40 hour week, and if so how does this mean optional
- A: Yes, some agencies do, this is a recommendation that asks agencies to take a look at it.
- Q: Have employees wanted it?
- A: Some have, Finance cabinet did a survey and 75% of their employees wanted it.
- Q: If certain agencies decide to go to it, how does that effect the overtime? Currently the 2.5 between 37.5 and 40 go to c-time. Do those hours become obsolete?
- A: Fair Standards Labor Act requires employees to be compensated. Once you hit 40 hours you get paid at time & half.
- Q: We have had memos that said we are not allowed to accumulate comp time
- A: Many agencies have not allowed employees to accumulate comp time for budgetary reasons.
- Q: Early retirement is that going to be done with or is that going to be extended?

- A: Yeah we have heard those rumors and its just rumors at this time, I'm not aware of a formal decision to change the retirement.
- Q: On Recommendation 3 regarding the recruitment of qualified veterans. Is there going to be legislation to help veterans?
- A: There has been a bill that has been prefiled that make a lot of enhancements for veterans with respect to state hiring; it will be looked at the next session.
- Q: Is there any thought of introducing a nepotism policy for state government?
- A: In 1993 there was a nepotism policy saying that it would not be allowed; the AG said that state government did not have the authority to promulgate such a regulation.
- Q: Has retirement been under funded?
- A: That is really a retirement question.
- Q: If an agency decides to go to the 40 hour work week, would it be up to the appointing authority to decide flex time?
- A: Yes, they would still determine schedule.
- Q: Make up of the panel, who appointed the panel?
- A: The governor appointed the task force
- Q: And there are two current merit employees on the panel?
- A: One is a branch manager, the other is non-manager?
- Q: Memo from the personnel cabinet, why did the governor's office request a register of political affiliation?
- A: That was one of the representatives or senators on the task force that requested that. That was a statistical request to look at the breakdown. I think this administration is unique if you look at the non-merit positions that the governor has the ability to change you have 61% unchanged.
- Q: The amendment in Recommendation 1 should include existing state employees.
- A: They just wanted to make it clear that all employees were to be considered in this.
- Q: Regulations
- A: Talking about folks who have hiring authority are trained to be sure they are hiring the most qualified person, and following the law and regulations in those hiring decisions.
- Q: Why state principles should it be an understanding of merit system law?
- A: Currently there isn't a uniformed way of selecting and hiring them. This would give the training to those people having hiring authority.

- Q: Are nonmerit employees allowed to apply to other positions prior to the end of the administration or is that a violation of the merit system?
- A: One of the recommendations is to extend the probationary period to a year to help eliminate the burrowing, except for those people who are career employees.
- Q: By revising this Affirmative Action plan how does this going to allow more qualified blacks into state government.
- A: Under the current program, the state is making progress. The goal was 7% and the Governor has moved the goal to 10% and we are now at 8%. If would take a good hard look at the system to determine if there is something wrong with the system. For instance, is there something wrong with the test which would make it hard for a minority to get on board? Once we have that information we would need to make the changes and get those into our affirmative action plan. In some states if you don't make your goals, you lose budget. If you do meet your goals we need to have rewards for those agencies. Also need to train so hiring authority
- Q: Why can't we get the standard 5% raise if we can afford drug testing?
- A: The cost for doing the testing is minor, the 5% raise is something that has to be approved by the legislature, the personnel cabinet can't do anything about it.
- Q: Why are we putting it out like it is a raise, we are not going to be making any more per hour, so it's not a raise?
- A: I'm sorry I misspoke, it's not a raise it's just an increase in salary.
- Q: If the agency goes to a 40 hour work week, do all employees have to go to that, or does the employee have a choice?
- A: It's up to the appointing authority. Finance did it for their entire cabinet. Dept. of Corrections did it for their officers who worked in a 24 hour environment.
- Q: Are there any discussions within the task force to hire contractors instead of state employees?
- A: No, that's not an issue that I know came up, I don't think that has been discussed.
- Q: I know some agencies have temp workers, and they want to hire them on after years, will the agencies be able to hire those temp workers over current state employees.
- A: Temp employees are not state employees, are not merit employees, and are not subject to internal mobility. Obviously the agency has discretion, but they would not be internal mobility candidates.
- Q: There are contractors that are supervising state employees, which brings up concern when you talk about merit raises. Are merit raises still included in the merit system ACE /ERA? It makes it hard to be eligible for those types of awards when you have contractors as supervisors.
- A: I appreciate your comments; we'll make sure we take a look at that. To see what impact contract supervisors have on merit system employees.

- Q: Where are we at with the recommendations, will they be taken to the governor, how long will it take to implement them?
- A: The information will be compiled and organized and made available to the task force members. We have actually had new recommendations that have come out of these meetings. Once the task force votes those recommendations will go to the governor along with the information from these meetings. The information from the task force and the meetings will be presented to the legislature to one of the committees, of course any legislature who wants the information can have it. If a recommendation is statutory the legislature will bring it up, and make it law, the soonest this can happen is July 2006, for reg. it takes about 6 months. Some are executive changes and the Governor could change at is discretion, some of agency changes and could happen quickly should the agency choose to change. The classifications, the salary maximums, the new hiring piece, will be long term and take a long time to get in place, the new system for instance will take a couple of years.
- Q: What can a state employee do, if they have concerns with some of these recommendations? The maximum concerns me, why should I stay if I reach the maximum and can't go any further.
- A: The first step is here, if it becomes a recommendation, contact your representative and let them know your concerns, also personnel cabinet. As for the maximum, we would hope to mimic the federal system, you would have steps to get up the maximum, we would need to do it smartly, it will be a great thing for future state employees.
- Q: The salary schedule is being raised at the bottom, and its giving newer employees' raises and additional pay that highly and tenured employees not getting. This is a great concern to employees. It is not necessary to test in Frankfort there are remote test locations.
- A: The personnel cabinet does market survey salaries each year, if we had a maximum salary those market surveys would become even more necessary. The elimination of lower pay grades, what they were finding was that no one was coming into those pay grades anyway. With testing it's a timing issue, if you can't get to a remote testing site, you run into a time issue because the job posting is only 10 days.
- Q: When you put the caps on employees, you have new employees who will be using the state as a training mechanism and then will be moving to private sector where they will be able to make more. Can you tell us where the logic is, why can we pay some people overtime and then you have others who are forced to take off?
- A: We do have to do things to recruit employees and help retain employees. Some of these things will help to retain employees. We average about 60,000 applications per year, and there are a lot of people who want to become employed by the state. So something is still attracting those people here. We are working on trying to keep health insurance and those types of things down.